



## Soil Chemical Properties of Typic Quartzipsamment in Organic and Conventional Farming System

N. S. Carvalho<sup>1</sup>, S. M. B. Rocha<sup>1</sup>, D. L. C. Monte<sup>1</sup>, V. M. Santos<sup>1</sup>  
and A. S. F. Araujo<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Agricultural Engineering and Soil Science, Federal University of Piauí, Agricultural Science Center, Teresina, PI, 64049-550, Brazil.

### Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author ASFA designed, coordinated and analyzed the study. Authors NSC, SMBR, DLCCM and VMS conducted, analyzed and managed the experimental process. All authors wrote, read and approved the final manuscript.

### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2015/14827

Editor(s):

(1) Muhammad Kasib Khan, Department of Parasitology, University of Agriculture, Pakistan.

Reviewers:

(1) Anonymous, Iran.

(2) Sabinus Oscar O. Eze, Department of Biochemistry, University of Nigeria Nsukka, Nigeria.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?id=783&id=24&aid=7788>

Original Research Article

Received 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2014  
Accepted 5<sup>th</sup> January 2015  
Published 15<sup>th</sup> January 2015

### ABSTRACT

The field study to evaluate the changes in soil chemical properties of Typic Quartzipsamment has been conducted from conventional to organic farming system in Piauí state, Northeastern Brazil. Three areas were selected: organic (ORG) and conventional (CNV) farming system with "acerola" (*Malpighia glabra* L.) and native vegetation (NV). The soil samples were collected in the 0-10, 10-20 and 20-40 cm depths to evaluate soil pH,  $H^+ + Al^{3+}$ , total organic carbon (TOC), exchangeable  $K^+$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Mg^{2+}$ , available P, cation exchange capacity (CEC) and base saturation (BS). The soil in ORG showed higher pH values, TOC and available P contents than CNV system, in all depths. CEC and BS values in ORG were higher than CNV in the 0-10 and 10-20 cm depths. The agricultural practices in ORG provide high increase in soil pH, TOC and P content.

**Keywords:** Soil quality; typic quartzipsamment; soil fertility; total organic carbon.

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: [ademir@ufpi.edu.br](mailto:ademir@ufpi.edu.br);

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Soil and crop management in agricultural ecosystems (crop rotation, residue management, soil tillage, etc.) will affect soil chemical properties, nutrients dynamics. Consequently, the soil quality will be affected significantly. Intensive use of fertilizers and pesticides in conventional farming systems [1] bring the concern with environmental quality and have led to the development and promotion of organic farming system as an alternative [2]. Organic farming does not use synthetic fertilizers and pesticides; consequently, it may improve soil biological interactions and processes [3].

Organic farming is gaining worldwide acceptance and has been expanding at annual rate of 20% [4]. In Brazil, organic farming has been intensified to meet market demands over the last years [5]. In this agricultural system, the farmers avoid applications of synthetic fertilizers and pesticides, rely on organic inputs and recycling for nutrient supply, and emphasize cropping system design and biological processes for pest management, as defined by organic certification programs in Brazil. They may thus reduce some negative effects attributed to conventional farming and enhances potential benefits and thus improve soil quality [3,5,6]

Soil quality is the capacity of soil to maintain some key ecological functions, such as decomposition and formation of soil organic matter and it may be measured by chemical, physical and biological attributes [7]. For chemical attributes, Gregorich et al. [8], and Smith & Doran [9] suggested that soil total organic carbon (TOC), available P, exchangeable K and soil pH are important measurements of soil quality because they provide indicators of soil nutrient supplying capacity.

Some studies were already conducted to evaluate changes in soil chemical properties under conventional and no-tillage systems [10,11]. Many studies have also been conducted in tropical regions to evaluate and asses the changes in soil chemical properties in areas under organic and conventional system [10,11,12]. However, new studies were made to evaluate changes in soil chemical in areas under organic and conventional system, mainly in tropical conditions [12]. In our areas, others studies were conducted to compare the effects of

practices in organic and conventional farming on soil biological properties [3,6].

The aim of this study was to evaluate the changes in the soil chemical properties of a Typic Quartzipsamment (US Soil Taxonomy) under conventional and organic farming system.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 2.1 Experimental Setting

The field study was carried out at the irrigation district of Piauí, Brazil (03°05'S; 41°47'W; 46 m). The climate is rather dry with a mean precipitation of 1,000 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> and an annual mean temperature of 30°C. The soil type is a Typic Quartzipsamment in US Soil Taxonomy (sand: 92%; silt: 5%; clay: 3%).

### 2.2 Methodology

Soil samples were collected in March 2010 from three different sites: organic (ORG) and conventional (CNV) farming system with "acerola" (*Malpighia glabra* L.) and area with native vegetation (NV). The size of each area is approximately 0.2 hectare. The native vegetation in composed by species of transitional savanna-"caatinga". In the conventional and organic farming, the plants of *Malpighia glabra* are distanced 2.0 m long and 3.0 m wide, respectively. Area in conventional system is managed since 1999 (annually with crop rotation cowpea/watermelon). The plants crops, in conventional system, were implanted in 2006 and the management includes, annually, applications of chemical and organic fertilizers (Table 1).

Organic farming was initiated in 2008 subsequent to the withdrawal of all conventional inputs. Initially, the areas in organic system received inputs from green manure (*Crotalaria juncea*, *Vigna unguiculata*, *Cajanus cajan*) that contribute with approximately 400 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N (biological nitrogen fixation). The green manure was incorporated in soil at 0-20 cm depth. Additionally, there was the application of 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of rock phosphate and 1.2 t ha<sup>-1</sup> of "MB4" (calcareous plus micronutrients). The Table 2 shows the chemical characteristics of composted cow manure and "carnauba" straw used in organic input. The organic amendments are applied in superficial form in the plant canopies. Alternative methods such as plant extracts and bio control are used to control the disease and insect pest in organic management system.

**Table 1. Annual inputs of fertilizers in the conventional and organic system**

Conventional system	Organic system
<b>2006</b>	
200 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> (Urea)	Green manure (11 t ha <sup>-1</sup> of fresh biomass)
80 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> (SSF)	0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> rock phosphate (24% P)
80 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (KCl)	1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> MB4 (Mg, Ca and micronutrients)
<b>2007</b>	50 t ha <sup>-1</sup> cowcompost
200 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> (Urea)	0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> rock phosphate
80 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> (SSF)	1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> MB4
80 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (KCl)	50 t ha <sup>-1</sup> carnaubastraw
<b>2008</b>	50 t ha <sup>-1</sup> cowcompost
200 kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> (Urea)	0.5 t ha <sup>-1</sup> rock phosphate
80 kg P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> ha <sup>-1</sup> (SSF)	1.2 t ha <sup>-1</sup> MB4
80 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (KCl)	50 t ha <sup>-1</sup> carnaubastraw

MB4 – product composed by calcareous plus micronutrients

**Table 2. Some chemical characteristics of the composted cow manure added to organic plots**

	Compost	Straw
Moisture (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	198	31
pH (1:2.5)	6.9	5.6
Electric conductivity (1:2.5)	1.8	-
Organic Matter (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	281.6	432.7
Total nitrogen (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	8.32	5.1
C/N	19.1	37.5
P (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	4.1	0.7
K (g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	3.5	13.7

The areas ORG, CNV and NV were divided in four sub-areas (approximately 300 m<sup>2</sup>). In each sub-area, four soil samples were taken at three soils layers (0-10, 10-20 and 20-40 cm) under the plant canopy. The soil samples were air-dried and sieved at 2 mm before chemical analyses. Soil pH was measured in a 1:2.5 (soil: water ratio). Potential acidity (H<sup>+</sup> + Al<sup>3+</sup>) was determined using 1N calcium acetate solution at pH 7.0, according to Embrapa [13]. Exchangeable calcium (Ca<sup>2+</sup>) and magnesium (Mg<sup>2+</sup>) were determined using 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> potassium chloride. Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined by the Walkley and Black [14] method. Exchangeable K<sup>+</sup> and available P were extracted with Mehlich-1 and resin, respectively [15] (Tedesco et al., 1995). Potential CEC at pH 7.0 and base saturation (BS) were obtained by means of calculations using the concentrations of the exchangeable cations (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> + Al<sup>3+</sup>). The data were analyzed statistically and when a significant F value was detected, the means were compared by the Duncan test (P = 0.05).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Higher pH values were observed under ORG than under CNV and NV in all depths (Table 3), suggesting that the application of organic compost (organic matter) favored the increase in soil pH. The significant increase of pH values in organic amended soils can be related with to addition of basic cations with the organic materials [12]. Similar results were reported by Mader et al. [16] and Niwaa et al. [17], which observed increase in soil pH due to the long-term incorporation of organic matter, as organic compost.

The total acidity (H + Al) was lower in ORG and NV system comparing to CNV (Table 4) which can be explained by higher TOC content. These results are similar to those related by Moreti et al. [11], who observed low total acidity in soil after input of organic residues, as chicken manure. According to the same authors, the sequence of soil amendment that favored lower total acidity was chicken manure, chicken manure plus mineral fertilizer and no manure.

The higher P content was observed in the ORG and the lower in NV. In soils under organic farming, the permanent addition of composted cow manure (50 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) and rock phosphate (0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) promoted the increasing in the available P in the soil. Similar results were founded by Melero et al. [12] comparing organic and conventional farming. The same authors attributed that the addition of compost contributed to increase in the nutrient content of soil.

TOC content was higher in the soil under ORG and NV in all depths (Table 3) similarly to found by others in the field experiments [1,12,18]. In NV, this high TOC content is probably due to the stability of this ecosystem with great biodiversity of plant species that provided high residue input, contributing to increase soil organic matter levels. The results are in accordance with Agbenin & Adeniyi [19] who observed higher concentration of TOC in native vegetation compared to arable savanna soils, due to plant litter return and root biomass production. Additionally, the lack of soil perturbations occurring in the natural soil in NV favored the increase in the organic matter. On the other hand, the TOC content in ORG may be attributed to the considerable addition of organic compost and straw.

This increase in TOC content, in ORG, is important for semi-arid region, as Piauí state, due to low levels of organic matter observed for our soils. The high TOC is important for sustainability because of organic matter influence in soil physical, chemical and biological properties [3]. These results are in accordance with that of Glover et al. [20] and Melero et al. [2] who observed that organic farming system maintain soil organic matter at higher levels than the conventional system.

K content were higher, in the 0-10 cm depth, in CNV (Table 4), due to localized application of potassium fertilizer (potassium chloride) at the

trees basin, this confirms the observation made by Carvalho et al. [10]. Compared to NV without lime application, the other plots (ORG and CNV) showed significantly increase in the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  contents in 0-10 and 10-20 cm depth. This result may be attributed to surface addition of soil lime and, consequently, higher cation capacity retention due to higher CEC [21]. It is assumed that the liming at the ORG and CNV plots contributed as an important factor for  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  buildup in the soil. This increase in exchangeable Ca, in ORG and CNV, is important because it improves the plant root growth, since the nutrient is directly related to meristematic growth [22].

The SB, CEC and BS were similar in all depths between ORG and CNV (Table 5). The higher CEC increases negative charges of soil. This plays an important role in retention of cations. The high SB, CEC and BS in ORG may be attributed increasing concentration of SOM, which is in agreement to the report of Bayer & Bertol [23] who related higher SB, CEC and BS mainly to the humic acid fraction of SOM due to the predominant presence of negative charges.

In CNV, the high values of SB, CEC and BS is attributed to amendment of chemical fertilizers, mainly potassium, and soil liming. Moreover, since in the permeable soils, as sand soils, with high infiltration rates, amendments moves into deeper soil layers, there is an effect in subsurface.

**Table 3. Soil pH (water),  $\text{H}^+ + \text{Al}^{3+}$  (potential acidity), available P and TOC (total organic carbon) of plots under conventional and organic farming**

Plots	pH(water)	$\text{H}^+ + \text{Al}^{3+}$ (cmol <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup> )	P(mg dm <sup>-3</sup> )	TOC(g dm <sup>-3</sup> )
----- 0-10 cm -----				
ORG	6.75 a	1.21 b	10.94 a	12.91 a
CNV	6.15 b	1.78 a	5.10 b	10.66 b
NV	5.95 b	1.13 a	0.91 c	13.35 a
----- 10-20 cm -----				
ORG	6.45 a	0.71 b	7.90 a	12.53 a
CNV	6.00 b	1.08 a	3.02 b	7.78 b
NV	5.80 b	0.87 b	0.66 c	13.13 a
----- 20- 40 cm-----				
ORG	6.30 a	0.49 b	4.53 a	11.40 a
CNV	5.85 b	0.80 a	2.06 b	4.18 b
NV	5.70 b	0.51 b	0.42 c	8.78 a

Means followed by the same letter within each column are not significantly different at 5% level by Duncan's test. The plots evaluated were: organic farming (ORG); conventional farming (CNV); native vegetation (NV)

**Table 4. Exchangeable K, Ca and Mg contents under conventional and organic farming system**

Plots	K <sup>+</sup>	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	Mg <sup>2+</sup>
	----- cmol <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup> -----		
	----- 0-10 cm -----		
ORG	1.87 b	1.67 a	0.37 a
CNV	2.51 a	1.58 a	0.33 a
NV	0.99 c	0.71 b	0.15 b
	----- 10-20 cm -----		
ORG	2.16 a	1.59 a	0.29 a
CNV	2.01 a	1.43 a	0.39 a
NV	1.22 b	0.49 a	0.09 b
	----- 20-40 cm -----		
ORG	2.41 a	1.26 a	0.34 a
CNV	2.18 a	1.39 a	0.38 a
NV	1.44 b	0.35 b	0.07 b

Means followed by the same letter within each column are not significantly different at 5% level by Duncan's test. The plots evaluated were: organic farming (ORG); conventional farming (CNV); native vegetation (NV)

**Table 5. Soil SB (sum of base), CEC (cation exchange capacity) and BS (base saturation) of plots under conventional and organic farming system**

Plots	SBcmol <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup>	CECcmol <sub>c</sub> dm <sup>-3</sup>	BS%
	----- 0-10 cm -----		
ORG	3.91 a	5.12 a	76.3 a
CNV	4.42 a	5.20 a	71.2 a
NV	1.85 b	3.98 a	46.5 b
	----- 10-20 cm -----		
ORG	4.04 a	4.75 a	82.1 a
CNV	3.83 a	4.91 a	78.0 a
NV	1.80 b	2.67 b	67.4 b
	----- 20-40 cm -----		
ORG	4.01 a	4.50 a	89.1 a
CNV	3.95 a	4.75 a	83.1 a
NV	1.86 b	2.37 b	76.4 b

Means followed by the same letter within each column are not significantly different at 5% level by Duncan's test. The plots evaluated were: organic farming (ORG); conventional farming (CNV); native vegetation (NV)

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The management in organic and conventional farming system gave a significant effect on the chemical properties in soils profile. The practices of ORG resulted in higher soil pH, TOC and available P. Thus, the ORG system can improve soil chemical quality and, consequently, increase plant yield and maintain environmental condition.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

1. Tu C, Ristaino JB, Hu S. Soil microbial biomass and activity in organic tomato farming systems: Effects of organic inputs and straw mulching. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*. 2006;38:247-255.
2. Melero S, Porras JCR, Herencia JF, Madejon E. Chemical and biochemical properties in a silty loam soil under conventional and organic management. *Soil Tillage & Research*. 2005; 90:162-170.
3. Araújo ASF, Santos VB, Monteiro RTR. Responses of soil microbial biomass and activity for practices of organic and conventional farming systems in Piauí state, Brazil. *European Journal of Soil Biology*. 2008;44:256-230.
4. Willer H, Youssefi M. *The World of Organic Agriculture: Statistics and Emerging Trends*. Bonn: IFOAM. 2004;167.
5. Leite LFC, Oliveira FC, Araújo ASF, Galvão SRS, Lemos JO, Silva EFL. Soil organic carbon and biological indicators in an Acrisol under tillage systems and organic management in Northeastern

- Brazil. Australian Journal of Soil Research. 2010;48:258-265.
6. Santos VB, Araújo ASF, Leite LFC, Nunes LAPL, Melo WJ. Soil microbial biomass and organic matter fractions during transition from conventional to organic farming systems. Geoderma. 2012;170:227-231.
  7. Doran JW, Parkin TB. Defining and assessing soil quality. Doran J.W., Coleman D.C., Bezdicek D.F., Stewart B.A. (Eds.) Defining soil quality for a sustainable environment. Madison: SSSA. 1994;3-21.
  8. Gregorich EG, Carter MR, Angers DA, Monreal CM, Ellert BH. Towards a minimum data set to assess soil organic matter quality in agricultural soils. Canadian Journal of Soil Science. 1994;74:367-385.
  9. Smith JL, Doran JW. Measurement and use of pH and electrical conductivity for soil quality analysis. Doran J.W., Jones A.J. (Eds). Methods for Assessing Soil Quality. Madison: SSSA. 1996;49:41-50.
  10. Carvalho JLN, Cerri CEP, Cerri CC, Feigl BJ, Piccolo MC, Godinho VP, Herpin U. Changes of chemical properties in an oxisol after clearing of native Cerrado vegetation for agricultural use in Vilhena, Rondonia State, Brazil. Soil Tillage & Research. 2007;96:95-102.
  11. Moreti D, Alves MC, Valério Filho WV, Carvalho MP. Atributos químicos de um Latossolo vermelho sob diferentes sistemas de preparo, adubações e plantas de cobertura. Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo. 2007;31:167-175.
  12. Melero S, Madejón E, Ruiz JC, Herencia JF. Chemical and biochemical properties of a clay soil under dryland agriculture system as affected by organic fertilization. European Journal of Agronomy. 2007;26:327-334.
  13. EMBRAPA. Manual de Métodos de Análise de Solo. Embrapa Solos Rio de Janeiro, RJ; 2011.
  14. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of the digestion method for determining soil organic matter and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934;37:29-38.
  15. Tedesco MJ, Gianelo C, Bissani CA. Análises de solos, plantas e outros materiais. Porto Alegre; UFRGS; 1995.
  16. Mader P, Fließbach A, Dubois D, Gunst L, Fried P, Niggli U.. Soilfertility and biodiversity in organic farming. Science. 2002;296:1694-1697.
  17. Niwaa R, Kumeib T, Nomurac Y, Yoshidac S, Osakia M, Ezawa T. Increase in soil pH due to Ca-rich organic matter application causes suppression of the clubroot disease of crucifers. Soil Biology & Biochemistry. 2007;39:778-785.
  18. Clark MS, Horwath WR, Shennan C, Scow KM. Changes in soil chemical properties resulting from organic and low-input farming practices, Agronomy Journal. 1998;90:662-671.
  19. Agbenin JO, Adeniyi T. The microbial biomass properties of a savanna soil under improved grass and legume pastures in northern Nigeria. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment. 2005;109:245-254.
  20. Glover JD, Reganold JP, Andrews PK. Systematic method for rating soil quality of conventional, organic, and integrated apple orchards in Washington State. Agriculture, Ecosystem and Environment. 2000;80:29-45.
  21. Souza ZM, Alves MC. Propriedades químicas de um Latossolo Vermelho distrofico de Cerrado sob diferentes usos e manejos. Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo. 2003;27:133-139.
  22. Epstein E, Bloom AJ. Nutrição e crescimento. Epstein E, Bloom AJ. (Eds). Nutrição mineral de plantas. Londrina: Planta. 2006;251-286.
  23. Bayer C, Bertol I. Características químicas de um cambissolo húmico afetadas por sistemas de preparo, com ênfase à matéria orgânica. Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do Solo. 1999;23:687-694.

© 2015 Carvalho et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/review-history.php?iid=783&id=24&aid=7788>