



Performance of Varieties and Standardization of Plant Density on Growth Parameters in Palak (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis* Roxb.) under Summer Conditions in the Central Telangana Zone

K. Tejaswi ^{a++*}, K. Venkata Laxmi ^{b#}, S. Mallesh ^{c†},
R. Purnima Mishra ^{d#} and B. Rajasekar ^{d†}

^a Department of Vegetable Science, Post Graduate Institute for Horticultural Sciences, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Mulugu, Siddipet, Telangana, India.

^b College of Horticulture, Malyal, Mahabubabad, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Mulugu, Siddipet, Telangana, India.

^c Post Graduate Institute for Horticultural Sciences, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Mulugu, Siddipet, Telangana, India.

^d College of Horticulture, Mojerla, Wanaparthy, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Mulugu, Siddipet, Telangana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i101520>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124730>

Original Research Article

Received: 04/08/2024
Accepted: 07/10/2024
Published: 15/10/2024

⁺⁺ M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Associate Professor;

[†] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: tejaswi.kota.2001@gmail.com;

Cite as: Tejaswi, K., K. Venkata Laxmi, S. Mallesh, R. Purnima Mishra, and B. Rajasekar. 2024. "Performance of Varieties and Standardization of Plant Density on Growth Parameters in Palak (*Beta Vulgaris* Var. *Bengalensis* Roxb.) under Summer Conditions in the Central Telangana Zone". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (10):973-80. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i101520>.

ABSTRACT

It was a Factorial Randomized Block Design, with two factors (three varieties (Arka Anupama, All Green, Mulayam) and four plant densities (25 cm × 10 cm, 25 cm × 15 cm, 30 cm × 10 cm, 30 cm × 15 cm) and three replications, conducted at Post Graduate Institute for Horticultural Sciences, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, Mulugu from March to June 2024. The results revealed that Arka Anupama recorded maximum number of leaves per plant (10.51, 13.76, 12.36 and 11.35), length of leaves, width of leaves at 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th cuttings, leaf area (100.00 cm²) and leaf area index (1.51). The maximum plant height (23.35 cm, 26.99 cm, 25.59 cm and 20.46 cm) and length of leaf petiole was recorded in All Green at all cuttings. A 30 cm × 15 cm plant density recorded maximum plant height (23.53 cm, 27.11 cm, 25.46 cm and 20.36 cm), number of leaves per plant, length of leaf petiole, length of leaves, width of leaves at all cuttings and leaf area (109.96 cm²). Highest leaf area index (1.11) was recorded in 30 cm × 10 cm plant density. The V₁S₄ (Arka Anupama, 30 cm × 15 cm) exhibited maximum number of leaves, length of leaves, width of leaves and leaf area, while plant height and length of leaf petiole were highest in V₂S₄ (All Green, 30 cm × 15 cm). V₁S₁ (Arka Anupama, 25 cm × 10 cm) recorded highest leaf area index on par with V₁S₃ (Arka Anupama, 30 cm × 10 cm).

Keywords: Palak; varieties; plant density; growth.

1. INTRODUCTION

Palak (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis* Roxb.) is a major leafy vegetable crop [1], belongs to the family Chenopodiaceae [2] and is closely related to beetroot and chard, with a diploid chromosome number of $2n=18$. Palak originated in the Indo-Chinese region. Other names for palak include spinach beet, desi palak and beet leaf.

The edible parts of palak are the tender, succulent leaves and petioles [1]. Palak leaves are a rich source of vitamin A (9770 IU), vitamin C (70 mg), calcium (380 mg), phosphorus (30 mg) and iron (16.2 mg). Palak also contains considerable amounts of protein (3.4 g), minerals (2.2 g), carbohydrates (6.5 g), fat (0.8 g), fiber (0.7 g), nicotinic acid (3.3 mg), thiamine (0.26 mg), riboflavin (0.56 mg) and moisture (86.4 %) [2].

Varieties of palak include All Green, Pusa Jyoti, Jobner Green, HS 23 and Arka Anupama. Pusa Bharati was an IARI-released variety, best suited for the winter and early spring season. And yielded about 500 q/ha. Arka Anupama was a multi-cut variety, late to bolt, produced four cuttings and yielded about 410 q/ha. All Green variety was a pure line, IARI-released variety. It produced tender, green leaves and yielded about 6-7 cuttings and 125 q/ha. Varietal assessment among different varieties is necessary to compare varieties with local cultivars [3]. Among various agronomic techniques, optimizing plant density was fundamental for increasing yield and

the benefit-cost ratio per unit area. Higher plant density could maximize competition between plants for essential growth resources, which led to decreased yield. Conversely, lower plant density could diminish the effectiveness of inputs. Therefore, achieving the ideal plant density was crucial for allowing crops to reach their genetic potential through the effective use of growth resources [4].

Considering the above factors, the present study titled "Performance of varieties and standardization of plant density on growth parameters in palak (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis* Roxb.) under Summer conditions in the Central Telangana Zone" was initiated.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the university farm of the Post Graduate Institute for Horticultural Sciences, Mulugu, Siddipet, Sri Konda Laxman Telangana Horticultural University, from March 2024 to June 2024. The experimental site was located at a latitude of 17°43'02" N and a longitude of 78°37'48" E. The experiment design was a Factorial Randomized Block Design with two factors (varieties, plant density) and three replications. There were three levels of varieties and four levels of plant density.

2.1 Treatment Details

Factor 1: Varieties

V₁: Arka Anupama
V₂: All Green
V₃: Mulayam

Factor 2: Plant density

S₁: 25 cm × 10 cm

S₂: 25 cm × 15 cm

S₃: 30 cm × 10 cm

S₄: 30 cm × 15 cm

2.2 Treatment Combinations

V₁S₁ : Arka Anupama + 25 cm × 10 cm

V₁S₂ : Arka Anupama + 25 cm × 15 cm

V₁S₃ : Arka Anupama + 30 cm × 10 cm

V₁S₄ : Arka Anupama + 30 cm × 15 cm

V₂S₁ : All Green + 25 cm × 10 cm

V₂S₂ : All Green + 25 cm × 15 cm

V₂S₃ : All Green + 30 cm × 10 cm

V₂S₄ : All Green + 30 cm × 15 cm

V₃S₁ : Mulayam + 25 cm × 10 cm

V₃S₂ : Mulayam + 25 cm × 15 cm

V₃S₃ : Mulayam + 30 cm × 10 cm

V₃S₄ : Mulayam + 30 cm × 15 cm

The experimental field was thoroughly tilled, divided into 36 beds, each measuring 1 m × 3 m with a height of 0.15 m. At the time of the last ploughing, FYM (20 t/ha) was applied. The seeds were sown by opening the small furrows, 3 cm deep, with the help of a sickle. The seeds were dibbled into the soil and then covered with fine soil. Immediate irrigation after sowing and regular irrigation was provided. Regular weeding was done at 15-20 days intervals. Thinning and gap filling were performed 15 days after sowing. Four cuttings were performed at 35, 55, 75 and 95 days after sowing by cutting the green leaves with the petiole from 5 cm above ground level.

Observations on growth parameters were taken one day before harvesting (cutting) from ten tagged plants in each treatment. Data on plant height (cm), length of leaf petiole (cm), length of leaves (cm), width of leaves (cm) were measured using a meter scale and number of leaves per were counted. Leaf area was recorded using a Leaf area meter. Leaf area index was calculated by the leaf area per plant (cm²) to the land area occupied by each plant (cm²) [5]. The data were analyzed statistically using the analysis of variance procedure for a factorial randomized block design [6].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Plant Height (cm)

The data presented in Table 1 showed that there was significant variation among varieties and

plant density with respect to the plant height (cm).

Among the varieties, highest plant height (23.35 cm, 26.99 cm, 25.59 cm and 20.46 cm) was recorded for V₂ (All Green) and lowest plant height (18.93 cm, 22.53 cm, 21.04 cm and 16.83 cm) was observed for V₃ (Mulayam) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases. Height of the plant (23.53 cm, 27.11 cm, 25.46 cm and 20.36 cm) was recorded highest in S₄ (30 cm × 15 cm), while the lowest plant height (18.90 cm, 22.57 cm, 21.24 cm and 16.99 cm) was recorded in S₁ (25 cm × 10 cm) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases.

The interaction between varieties and plant density significantly affected plant height at 3rd and 4th cuttings. The maximum height of the plant (27.11 cm and 21.68 cm) was observed in V₂S₄ (All Green, 30 cm × 15 cm) and least height of the plant (18.87 cm and 15.09 cm) was recorded in V₃S₁ (Mulayam, 25 cm × 10 cm) at the 3rd and 4th cuttings.

Greater airspace for vegetative growth, reduced competition between plants and improved access to derive soil nutrients contributed to the increased plant height observed with wider plant density. This investigation provided results similar to those of Tiwari et al. [7] in fenugreek, Chavan et al. [3] in amaranthus, Mahindrakar and Kulkarni [4] in palak and Shah [8] in palak.

3.2 Number of Leaves Per Plant

The data presented in Table 1 showed that there was significant variation among varieties and plant density with respect to the number of leaves per plant.

A greater leaf number per individual plant (10.51, 13.76, 12.36 and 11.35) was noted in V₁ (Arka Anupama), while the least number of leaves per plant (6.92, 10.23, 8.52 and 7.51) was obtained in V₂ (All Green) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases. Maximum leaf number per individual plant (10.13, 13.55, 12.13 and 11.11) was recorded in S₄ (30 cm × 15 cm), while the least number of leaves per plant (7.18, 10.44, 8.89 and 7.90) was recorded in S₁ (25 cm × 10 cm) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases.

The interaction between varieties and plant density significantly impacted the leaf number per individual plant at 1st and 2nd cuttings. The

maximum leaf number per individual plant (12.17 and 15.50) was obtained in V₁S₄ (Arka Anupama, 30 cm × 15 cm), while the least leaf number per individual plant (5.61 and 8.89) was noted in V₂S₁ (All Green, 25 cm × 10 cm) at the 1st and 2nd cuttings.

Due to competition between plants for growth resources caused by increased plant population with narrower plant density showed fewer leaves. On the other hand, plants that were widely spaced showed improved resource consumption, likely resulting in a greater leaf number per individual plant. This investigation provided results similar to those of Mahindrakar and Kulkarni [4] in palak, Jha et al. [9] in okra, Kadam [1] in beetroot, Jagdishbhai (2020) in palak, Addula [10] in beetroot, Shah [8] in palak and Sruthy [11] in palak.

3.3 Length of Leaf Petiole (cm)

The data presented in Table 2 showed that there was significant variation among varieties and plant density with respect to the length of leaf petiole (cm).

V₂ (All Green) showed the maximum length of the leaf petiole (8.99 cm, 10.50 cm, 9.88 cm and 7.90 cm), while the shortest length of the leaf petiole (7.19 cm, 8.41 cm, 7.90 cm and 6.32 cm) was recorded in V₃ (Mulayam) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases. S₄ (30 cm × 15 cm) recorded the maximum length of leaf petiole (9.38 cm, 10.97 cm, 10.31 cm and 8.24 cm), while the shortest length of the leaf petiole (6.67 cm, 7.78 cm, 7.33 cm and 5.86 cm) was recorded in S₁ (25 cm × 10 cm) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases.

Table 1. Effect of varieties and spacings on plant height (cm) and number of leaves per plant in palak

Treatments	Plant height (cm)				Number of leaves per plant			
	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	4 th cut	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	4 th cut
Varieties								
V ₁	21.16	24.80	23.34	18.67	10.51	13.76	12.36	11.35
V ₂	23.35	26.99	25.59	20.46	6.92	10.23	8.52	7.51
V ₃	18.93	22.53	21.04	16.83	8.64	11.93	10.60	9.59
S.E.m±	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.10	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.05
CD (5 %)	0.31	0.40	0.36	0.29	0.11	0.17	0.16	0.15
Plant density								
S ₁	18.90	22.57	21.24	16.99	7.18	10.44	8.89	7.90
S ₂	21.76	25.31	23.99	19.19	9.24	12.57	11.09	10.09
S ₃	20.40	24.09	22.59	18.07	8.21	11.34	9.86	8.84
S ₄	23.53	27.11	25.46	20.36	10.13	13.55	12.13	11.11
S.E.m±	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.12	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.06
CD (5 %)	0.36	0.46	0.41	0.33	0.13	0.20	0.18	0.17
Interaction								
V ₁ S ₁	19.00	22.56	21.05	16.83	8.90	12.21	10.72	9.70
V ₁ S ₂	21.61	25.26	24.25	19.39	11.06	14.39	12.89	11.91
V ₁ S ₃	20.38	24.08	22.28	17.82	9.92	12.94	11.77	10.75
V ₁ S ₄	23.65	27.30	25.80	20.63	12.17	15.50	14.07	13.05
V ₂ S ₁	20.99	24.78	23.80	19.04	5.61	8.89	7.09	6.11
V ₂ S ₂	24.14	27.56	26.15	20.91	7.29	10.58	9.14	8.12
V ₂ S ₃	22.74	26.50	25.29	20.22	6.52	9.83	7.77	6.76
V ₂ S ₄	25.55	29.12	27.11	21.68	8.26	11.62	10.07	9.05
V ₃ S ₁	16.72	20.38	18.87	15.09	7.02	10.22	8.88	7.90
V ₃ S ₂	19.52	23.10	21.59	17.27	9.38	12.75	11.25	10.23
V ₃ S ₃	18.10	21.71	20.22	16.17	8.20	11.24	10.03	9.01
V ₃ S ₄	21.40	24.92	23.48	18.78	9.97	13.52	12.24	11.22
S.E.m±	0.22	0.28	0.25	0.20	0.08	0.12	0.11	0.10
CD (5 %)	NS	NS	0.71	0.58	0.22	0.35	NS	NS

Factor 1: V₁: Arka Anupama, V₂: All Green, V₃: Mulayam

Factor 2: S₁: 25 cm × 10 cm, S₂: 25 cm × 15 cm, S₃: 30 cm × 10 cm, S₄: 30 cm × 15 cm

The interaction between varieties and plant density significantly affected length of leaf petiole at 2nd cutting. Maximum length of leaf petiole (12.32 cm) was obtained in V₂S₄ (All Green, 30 cm × 15 cm), while the shortest length of the leaf petiole (6.80 cm) was recorded in V₃S₁ (Mulayam, 25 cm × 10 cm) at the 2nd cutting.

The increase in the length of leaf petiole under wider plant density was attributed to the ideal growing environment for vegetative development. The decrease in length of leaf petiole under narrower plant density may have been due to the minimum area available for plant development.

3.4 Length of Leaves (cm)

The data presented in Table 2 showed that there was significant variation among varieties and plant density with respect to the length of leaves (cm).

The highest leaf length (13.88 cm, 14.64 cm, 12.85 cm and 12.53 cm) was observed in V₁ (Arka Anupama). Lowest leaf length (10.67 cm, 11.61 cm, 10.25 cm and 10.01 cm) was recorded in V₃ (Mulayam) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases. The maximum length of leaves was obtained in S₄ (30 cm × 15 cm) (15.20 cm, 15.89 cm, 13.92 cm and 13.57 cm) and lowest leaf length (9.32 cm, 10.34 cm, 9.16 cm and 8.95 cm) was recorded in S₁ (25 cm × 10 cm) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases.

Highest leaf length (17.26 cm, 17.84 cm, 15.60 cm and 15.20 cm) was obtained in V₁S₄ (Arka Anupama, 30 cm × 15 cm), followed by V₂S₄ (All Green, 30 cm × 15 cm) with leaf length of 14.88 cm, 15.59 cm, 13.66 cm and 13.32 cm. The lowest leaf length (7.95 cm, 9.04 cm, 8.04 cm and 7.86 cm) was recorded in V₃S₁ (Mulayam, 25 cm × 10 cm) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases.

Table 2. Effect of varieties and plant density on length of leaf petiole (cm) and length of leaves (cm) in palak

Treatments	Length of leaf petiole (cm)				Length of leaves (cm)			
	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	4 th cut	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	4 th cut
Varieties								
V ₁	7.87	9.20	8.65	6.91	13.88	14.64	12.85	12.53
V ₂	8.99	10.50	9.88	7.90	11.91	12.78	11.25	10.98
V ₃	7.19	8.41	7.90	6.32	10.67	11.61	10.25	10.01
S.E.m±	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.06
CD (5 %)	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.10	0.18	0.19	0.16	0.18
Plant density								
S ₁	6.67	7.78	7.33	5.86	9.32	10.34	9.16	8.95
S ₂	8.40	9.82	9.23	7.38	12.95	13.77	12.10	11.81
S ₃	7.62	8.91	8.37	6.69	11.13	12.05	10.62	10.37
S ₄	9.38	10.97	10.31	8.24	15.20	15.89	13.92	13.57
S.E.m±	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.07
CD (5 %)	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.21	0.22	0.18	0.20
Interaction								
V ₁ S ₁	6.64	7.76	7.29	5.83	10.92	11.85	10.45	10.20
V ₁ S ₂	8.24	9.64	9.06	7.24	14.78	15.49	13.58	13.24
V ₁ S ₃	7.52	8.80	8.26	6.60	12.55	13.39	11.77	11.49
V ₁ S ₄	9.08	10.62	9.98	7.98	17.26	17.84	15.60	15.20
V ₂ S ₁	7.57	8.78	8.32	6.65	9.11	10.13	8.98	8.78
V ₂ S ₂	9.33	10.91	10.25	8.19	12.65	13.48	11.86	11.57
V ₂ S ₃	8.54	9.99	9.39	7.50	11.00	11.92	10.51	10.27
V ₂ S ₄	10.53	12.32	11.58	9.25	14.88	15.59	13.66	13.32
V ₃ S ₁	5.82	6.80	6.39	5.11	7.95	9.04	8.04	7.86
V ₃ S ₂	7.64	8.93	8.39	6.71	11.43	12.33	10.87	10.61
V ₃ S ₃	6.80	7.95	7.47	5.97	9.85	10.84	9.59	9.36
V ₃ S ₄	8.53	9.97	9.37	7.49	13.45	14.24	12.50	12.19
S.E.m±	0.08	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.12
CD (5 %)	NS	0.25	NS	NS	0.36	0.38	0.33	0.35

Factor 1: V₁: Arka Anupama, V₂: All Green, V₃: Mulayam

Factor 2: S₁: 25 cm × 10 cm, S₂: 25 cm × 15 cm, S₃: 30 cm × 10 cm, S₄: 30 cm × 15 cm

Decreased leaf length in narrower plant density may have resulted from increased competition between plants for air and exposure to sunlight. Similar findings were reported by Rana [12] in cabbage, Jha et al. [9] in okra, Kaur et al. [13] in cauliflower and Addula [10] in beetroot.

3.5 Width of Leaves (cm)

The data presented in Table 3 showed that there was significant variation among varieties and plant density with respect to the width of leaves.

The highest leaf width (9.00 cm, 9.28 cm, 7.87 cm and 7.15 cm) was noted in V₁ (Arka Anupama), while the lowest leaf width (6.85 cm, 7.06 cm, 5.98 cm and 5.43 cm) was obtained in V₂ (All Green) at the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th cuttings. The highest leaf width (9.89 cm, 10.20 cm, 8.64 cm and 7.85 cm) was noted in S₄ (30 cm × 15

cm) and lowest leaf width (5.94 cm, 6.12 cm, 5.19 cm and 4.71 cm) was noted in S₁ (25 cm × 10 cm) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases.

Highest leaf width (11.28 cm, 11.63 cm, 9.86 cm and 8.96 cm) was obtained in V₁S₄ (Arka Anupama, 30 cm × 15 cm) and lowest leaf width (5.01 cm, 5.17 cm, 4.38 cm and 3.98 cm) was recorded in V₂S₁ (All Green, 25 cm × 10 cm) at the initial, second, third and fourth cutting phases.

This was probably due to the greater plant density between plants, which resulted in more nutrient absorption, sunlight exposure and soil moisture reaching the growing regions of the plant, thereby leading to a greater leaf width. The results were consistent with findings by Rana (2019) in cabbage, Kaur et al. [13] in cauliflower and Addula [10] in beetroot.

Table 3. Effect of varieties and plant density on width of leaves (cm), leaf area (cm²) and leaf area index in palak

Treatments	Width of leaves (cm)				Leaf area (cm ²)	Leaf area index
	1 st cut	2 nd cut	3 rd cut	4 th cut		
Varieties						
V ₁	9.00	9.28	7.87	7.15	100.00	1.51
V ₂	6.85	7.06	5.98	5.43	75.79	0.63
V ₃	7.68	7.91	6.71	6.09	85.13	1.05
S.E.m±	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.41	0.01
CD (5 %)	0.12	0.13	0.12	0.09	1.55	0.02
Plant density						
S ₁	5.94	6.12	5.19	4.71	65.63	1.06
S ₂	8.38	8.64	7.32	6.65	93.03	1.06
S ₃	7.16	7.38	6.25	5.68	79.28	1.11
S ₄	9.89	10.20	8.64	7.85	109.96	1.03
S.E.m±	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.03	0.46	0.01
CD (5 %)	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.10	1.33	0.02
Interaction						
V ₁ S ₁	7.01	7.23	6.13	5.57	77.68	1.57
V ₁ S ₂	9.61	9.90	8.40	7.63	106.78	1.48
V ₁ S ₃	8.11	8.36	7.09	6.44	89.97	1.56
V ₁ S ₄	11.28	11.63	9.86	8.96	125.57	1.44
V ₂ S ₁	5.01	5.17	4.38	3.98	55.22	0.60
V ₂ S ₂	7.36	7.59	6.43	5.84	81.57	0.64
V ₂ S ₃	6.30	6.49	5.50	5.00	69.63	0.63
V ₂ S ₄	8.71	8.98	7.61	6.92	96.75	0.66
V ₃ S ₁	5.79	5.97	5.06	4.60	63.98	1.02
V ₃ S ₂	8.18	8.43	7.14	6.49	90.75	1.05
V ₃ S ₃	7.06	7.28	6.17	5.61	78.25	1.15
V ₃ S ₄	9.68	9.98	8.45	7.68	107.56	0.99
S.E.m±	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.06	0.81	0.01
CD (5 %)	0.23	0.26	0.24	0.18	2.31	0.03

Factor 1: V₁: Arka Anupama, V₂: All Green, V₃: Mulayam

Factor 2: S₁: 25 cm × 10 cm, S₂: 25 cm × 15 cm, S₃: 30 cm × 10 cm, S₄: 30 cm × 15 cm

3.6 Leaf Area (cm²)

The data presented in Table 3 showed that there was significant variation among varieties and plant density with respect to the leaf area (cm²).

V₁ (Arka Anupama) exhibited the maximum leaf area (100.00 cm²), and the lowest leaf area (75.79 cm²) was recorded in V₂ (All Green). S₄ (30 cm × 15 cm) exhibited the maximum leaf area (109.96 cm²), while the minimum leaf area (65.63 cm²) was noted in S₁ (25 cm × 10 cm).

The maximum leaf area (125.57 cm²) was obtained in V₁S₄ (Arka Anupama, 30 cm × 15 cm), followed by V₃S₄ (Mulayam, 30 cm × 15 cm) with 107.56 cm². The lowest leaf area (55.22 cm²) was recorded in V₂S₁ (All Green, 25 cm × 10 cm).

Plants under wider plant density created a more beneficial environment for water, solar energy exposure and nutrient absorption, which may have resulted in the largest leaf area. This investigation was comparable to those of Kapuriya et al. [2] in cucumber, Yadav et al. [14] in tomato, Addula [10] in beetroot, Shah [8] in palak, Sruthy [11] in palak and Tandle et al. [15] in amaranthus.

3.7 Leaf Area Index (LAI)

The data presented in Table 3 showed that there was significant variation among varieties and plant density with respect to the Leaf area index (LAI).

The maximum leaf area index (1.51) was obtained in V₁ (Arka Anupama), while least leaf area index (0.63) was noted in V₂. (All Green). The greatest leaf area index (1.11) was obtained in S₃ (30 cm × 10 cm). The smallest leaf area index (1.03) was recorded in S₄ (30 cm × 15 cm). The varieties and plant density recorded a positive impact on leaf area index [16,17].

Maximum leaf area index (1.57) was obtained in V₁S₁ (Arka Anupama, 25 cm × 10 cm) on par with V₁S₃ (Arka Anupama, 30 cm × 10 cm) with a leaf area index of 1.56, while the smallest leaf area index (0.60) was recorded in V₂S₁ (All Green, 25 cm × 10 cm) [18].

Larger plant-to-plant density resulted in a lower calculated LAI, despite the increase in leaf area with plant density. Since the leaf area index considers plant density, widely separated plants

had a lower LAI even if their leaf area was larger. Similar findings were reported by Sruthy [11] in palak.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results from this experiment, it was concluded that the variety Arka Anupama with plant density of 30 cm × 15 cm exhibited maximum number of leaves, length of leaves, width of leaves and leaf area, while plant height and length of leaf petiole were highest in variety All Green with plant density of 30 cm × 15 cm. Variety Arka Anupama with plant density of 25 cm × 10 cm recorded maximum leaf area index on par with Arka Anupama with plant density of 30 cm × 10 cm.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Kadam VD. Effect of different spacing and fertilizer levels on growth yield and quality of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(6):31-35
2. Kapuriya VK, Ameta KD, Teli SK, Chittora A, Gathala S, Yadav S. Effect of spacing and training on growth and yield of polyhouse grown cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017; 6(8):299-304.
3. Chavan PU, Shinde VV, Haldavanekar PC, Ghavale SL. Effect of spacing and clipping on performance of amaranth (*Amaranthus tricolor* L.) Cv. Konkan Durangi. BIOINFOLET-A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences. 2017;14(2):152-155.
4. Mahindrakar A, Kulkarni A. Effect of spacing and plant growth regulators on growth and nutritional value of palak (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis* Roxb.). Environment and Ecology. 2017;35(4): 3688-3690.

5. Watson DJ. The dependence of net assimilation rate on leaf-area index. *Annals of Botany*. 1958;22(1):37-54.
6. Panse RP, Sukhatme PV. *Statistical methods for agricultural workers*. Indian council of agricultural research. New Delhi; 1967.
7. Tiwari D, Upadhyay S, Paliwal A. Plant spacing response on growth and yield of fenugreek in high altitude of Uttarakhand. *International Journal of New Technology and Research*. 2016;2(10):33-35.
8. Shah I. Effect of row-spacings and micronutrients on seed yield and quality of palak (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis* Roxb.). *Biological Forum-An International Journal*. 2021;15(9):665-669.
9. Jha RK, Neupane RB, Khatiwada A, Pandit S, Dahal BR. Effect of different spacing and mulching on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.) in Chitwan, Nepal. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources*. 2018;1(1):168-178.
10. Addula G. Studies on the effect of different spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of beetroot (*Beta vulgaris* L.) Cv. Detroit Dark Red under Telangana conditions. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. 2021;10(12): 361-365.
11. Sruthy AB. Standardization of agrotechniques in spinach beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis* Roxb.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2020;9(5):1068-1072.
12. Rana S, Barholia AK, Lekhi R, Pippal R, Rana P. Vegetative growth of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata* L.). Cv. Pusa drum head in relation to plant spacing, boron, and molybdenum. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2019;8(2):933-936.
13. Kaur P, Singh SK, Kaur R, Sidhu MK. Response of different levels of nitrogen and spacing on growth and yield of cauliflower grown under central region of Punjab. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*. 2020; 11:320-326.
14. Yadav S, Ameta KD, Sharma SK, Dubey RB, Rathore RS, Kumar H, Kapuriya VK. Effect of spacing and training on vegetative growth characteristics and yield of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) grown in polyhouse. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2017;6(5):1969-1976.
15. Tandle SS, Gadade GD, Mamdi SJ, Dubey S. Effect of spacing and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of amaranth (*Amaranthus hypochondriacus* L.). *International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research*. 2023;7(2): 568-570.
16. Kerketta A, Topno SE, Toppo A. Performance of different varieties of spinach beet (*Beta vulgaris* var. *bengalensis* Roxb.) under Prayagraj agro-climatic condition. *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*. 2022; 12(11):3084-3090.
17. Nath P, Swamy KRM. *Textbook of Vegetable Crops*. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi; 2016; 337-342.
18. Pandita ML, Lal S. Spinach beet (Palak) and Spinach. In: Bose TK, Som MG, editors. *Vegetable Crops in India*. Naya Prakash, Kolkata, India; 1990.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124730>