

**Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics** 

**15(4): 226-234, 2021; Article no.AJPAS.79501**  *ISSN: 2582-0230* 

# **Impact of Measurement Error on the Power Function of Average Control Chart under Non-Normal Population**

**\_** 

**U.** Mishra  $a^*$  and J. R. Singh  $b^*$ 

*<sup>a</sup>Shri Vaishnav Vidyapeeth Vishwavidyalaya, Indore (M.P.), India. <sup>b</sup>School of Studies in Statistics, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P.), India.* 

*Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.* 

*Article Information* 

DOI: 10.9734/AJPAS/2021/v15i430376 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. S. M. Aqil Burney, University of Karachi, Pakistan. *Reviewers:* (1) Rizwan Yousuf, Skuast Jammu (Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Jammu), India. (2) Aamir Saghir, Mirpur University of Science and Technology (MUST), Pakistan. (3) Thanh-Lam Nguyen, Lac Hong University, Vietnam. Complete Peer review History, details of the editor(s), Reviewers and additional Reviewers are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79501

*Original Research Article* 

*Received 07 October 2021 Accepted 15 December 2021 Published 16 December 2021*

#### **Abstract**

In the present article, effect of measurement error on the power function of control charts for mean with control limits is considered based on non-normal population. The non-normality is represented by the first four terms of an Edge-worth series. Tabular and visual comparison is also provided for the better comprehension of the significance of measurement error on power function under non-normality.

**\_** 

*Keywords: Inspection error; average control chart; non-normal population; power function.* 

# **1 Introduction**

Statistical process control includes a set of upcoming problems solving tools which is useful for creating stability in the process by decreasing the variability. The control chart techniques are one of the key methods in statistical process control which is most widely used in the industries. Control chart technique is mainly applied for; controlling (current process by finding and correcting problems as they occur), forecasting (the expected range of outcomes from a process), determining (whether a process is in statistical control) and analyzing

\_ *\*Corresponding author: Email: uttamamishra@gmail.com;* 

(patterns of process variations). When outlining control charts, it has been a general presumption that the observations are independently and identically distributed  $(i.i.d)$  under normal population. Practically, this presumption is not generally valid. Non-normality has a significant effect on the performance of the average control chart. The design consideration for an average control chart must include recognition of the degree of non-normality of the underlying data. The effect of non-normality on average control chart is discussed by Yourstone and Zimmer [1]. Chou et al. [2], Singh and Singh [3] have significantly given their contribution in the field of control chart under non-normal population. In quality control applications measurement error often exists which is known to result in reduced power to detect a given change in the mean of a quality characteristic. The effect of measurement error on control chart is considered by many authors: Bennet [4], Linna and Woodall (2001), Duffua and Khan [5], Chakraborty et al*.* [6], Singh and Mishra [7]. Noor-ul-Amin [8] studied the impact of measurement error on mixed EWMA-CUSUM control chart.

In this paper, we have attempted to examine the effect of inspection error on the power function of a control chart for averages where the process average can change under the non-normal population.

#### **2 Power Function of Average Control Chart under Non-normal Population**

Assuming that the true measurement *x* and the random error *e* are additive, we can write the observed measurement *X* as:

$$
X = x + e,\tag{2.1}
$$

where *x* and *e* are independent.

We now assume the density function of  $x$  to be specified by the first four terms of the Edgeworth series as follows:

$$
f(x) = \frac{1}{\sigma_p} \Big\{ \Phi\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_p}\right) - \frac{\lambda_3}{6} \Phi^{(3)}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_p}\right) + \frac{\lambda_4}{24} \Phi^{(4)}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_p}\right) + \frac{\lambda_3^2}{72} \Phi^{(6)}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma_p}\right) \Big\},\tag{2.2}
$$

where

$$
\phi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-X^2/2}
$$

and

$$
\Phi^{(r)}(X) = \frac{d^r}{dx} \Phi(X).
$$

The constants  $\mu$  and  $\sigma_p$  are the mean and the standard deviation of the true quality measurement *x* and  $\lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_4$ are the standardized third and fourth cumulants respectively. As usual if we take  $e \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$  and independent of *x*, the correlation coefficient  $\rho$  between the true and the observed measurement can be found out to be:

$$
\rho = \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_X} = \frac{r}{\sqrt{1+r^2}},\tag{2.3}
$$

where  $\sigma_X$  is the standard deviation of *X*. We want to derive the density function of  $\bar{X}$  which can be obtained as follows:

Since *x* and *e* are independent, the  $r^{th}$  cumulant of *X* is equal to the sum of the  $r^{th}$  cumulant of *x* and *e*. Further, since  $e \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$ , all the cumulants of *e* are zero except the second cumulant which is  $\sigma_e^2$ . Thus, if we denote the  $r^{th}$  cumulant of *x* and *X* by  $k_r$  and  $l_r$ , we have:

$$
k_r = l_r, \t\t r \neq 2
$$
  

$$
k_2 = l_2.
$$
 (2.4)

Let  $\gamma_r$  and  $\lambda_r$  ( $r \neq 2$ ) be the  $r^{th}$  standardized cumulant of *X* and *x* respectively. Then,

$$
\gamma_r = \frac{k_r}{k_2^{r/2}} = \frac{l_r}{\sigma_X^2} = \frac{l_r}{\binom{\sigma_p}{\rho}}^r,
$$
\nor\n
$$
\gamma_r = \rho^r \lambda_r.
$$
\n(2.5)

Then the density function of *X* can be written from equation (2.2) as:

$$
f(X) = \frac{1}{\sigma_X} \Big\{ \phi \left( \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma_X} \right) - \rho^3 \frac{\lambda_3}{6} \phi^{(3)} \left( \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma_X} \right) + \rho^4 \frac{\lambda_4}{24} \phi^{(4)} \left( \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma_X} \right) + \rho^6 \frac{\lambda_3^2}{72} \phi^{(6)} \left( \frac{X - \mu}{\sigma_X} \right) \Big\}.
$$
 (2.6)

The distribution of  $\bar{X}$  for the observed samples of size *n* drawn from the population (2.6) is found by the following equation (Gayan (1952)):

$$
g(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \Big\{ \phi \Big( \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \Big) - \rho^3 \frac{\lambda_3}{6\sqrt{n}} \phi^{(3)} \Big( \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \Big) + \rho^4 \frac{\lambda_4}{24n} \phi^{(4)} \Big( \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \Big) + \rho^6 \frac{\lambda_3^2}{72n} \phi^{(6)} \Big( \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \Big) \Big\},\tag{2.7}
$$

Now integrating equation (2.7) after replacing  $\mu$  by  $\mu'$ , we have:

$$
\gamma(\bar{x}) = \Big\{ \Phi\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) - \rho^3 \frac{\lambda_3}{6\sqrt{n}} \Phi^{(2)}\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) + \rho^4 \frac{\lambda_4}{24n} \Phi^{(3)}\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) + \rho^6 \frac{\lambda_3^2}{72n} \Phi^{(5)}\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu'}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) \Big\},\tag{2.8}
$$

where

$$
r = \frac{\sigma_p}{\sigma_e} = \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}}.\tag{2.9}
$$

It is assumed that the process comes from the  $N(\mu, \sigma_p^2/n)$  but the process shifts, the data is assumed to come from  $N(\mu, (\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)/n)$  under non-normal population and the value of  $\overline{X}$  is plotted with control limits of  $(\mu \pm 3\sigma_p^2/n)$ , the power of detecting the change of process is given by:

$$
P_{\bar{X}} = P_r\{\bar{X} \ge \mu + 3\sigma_p/\sqrt{n}\} + P_r\{\bar{X} \le \mu - 3\sigma_p/\sqrt{n}\}\tag{2.10}
$$

Standardizing the above equation (2.9),

$$
Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu'}{\sqrt{(\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_e^2)/n}}\tag{2.11}
$$

The power function for normal distribution is obtained by converting equation (2.9) into the standardized form, we have:

$$
P_{\bar{X}} = \left\{ P_r \left( Z \ge (\mu - \mu') \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} + 3 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_p^2}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} \right) + P_r \left( Z \le (\mu - \mu') \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} - 3 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_p^2}{n}} \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} \right) \right\},
$$
(2.12)

$$
P_{\bar{X}} = \left\{ P_r \left( Z \ge (\mu - \mu') \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} + 3 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_p^2}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} \right) + P_r \left( Z \le (\mu - \mu') \sqrt{\frac{n}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} - 3 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_p^2}{(\sigma_X^2 + \sigma_e^2)}} \right) \right\}, \quad (2.13)
$$

$$
P_{\bar{X}} = \left\{ P_r \left( Z \ge \frac{-d\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}}} + 3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}}} \right) + P_r \left( Z \le \frac{-d\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}}} - 3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}}} \right) \right\},
$$
(2.14)

$$
P_{\bar{X}} = \left\{ P_r \left( Z \le \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left( d\sqrt{n} - 3 \right) \right) + P_r \left( Z \le \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left( -3 - d\sqrt{n} \right) \right) \right\},\tag{2.15}
$$

$$
P_{\bar{X}} = \left\{ \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^{2}r^{2}}{\rho^{2}+r^{2}}} \left(d\sqrt{n}-3\right) \right) + \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^{2}r^{2}}{\rho^{2}+r^{2}}} \left(-3-d\sqrt{n}\right) \right) \right\},\tag{2.16}
$$

228

Where

$$
d = \frac{\mu - \mu'}{\sigma}
$$
,  $r^2 = \frac{\sigma_p^2}{\sigma_e^2}$  and  $\rho^2 = \frac{\sigma_p^2}{\sigma_x^2}$ .

The Power Curve of the control chart when the underlying population is non-normal is obtained by putting above value of equation (2.16) in equation (2.8):

$$
P_{\bar{X}} = \left\{ \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(d\sqrt{n} - 3\right)\right) + \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(-3 - d\sqrt{n}\right)\right) \right\} - \frac{\lambda_3}{6\sqrt{n}} \left\{ \Phi^{(2)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(d\sqrt{n} - 3\right)\right) + \Phi^{(2)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(-3 - d\sqrt{n}\right)\right) \right\} + \frac{\lambda_4}{24n} \left\{ \Phi^{(3)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(d\sqrt{n} - 3\right)\right) + \Phi^{(3)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(-3 - d\sqrt{n}\right)\right) \right\} + \frac{\lambda_3^2}{72n} \left\{ \Phi^{(5)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(d\sqrt{n} - 3\right)\right) + \Phi^{(5)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(-3 - d\sqrt{n}\right)\right) \right\} \right\} + \frac{\lambda_4^2}{72n} \left\{ \Phi\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(d\sqrt{n} - 3\right)\right) + \Phi^{(5)}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2 r^2}{\rho^2 + r^2}} \left(-3 - d\sqrt{n}\right)\right) \right\} \right\}
$$
(2.17)

The values of the power curve are obtained by using the equation (2.17) is given in Table 1 and its diagrammatical representation is given in Figs. 1 to 5.

## **3 Numerical Illustration**

In order to illustrate the result we study the effect of measurement error on power function of average control chart under non-normal population. The values of power function for some chosen values of *d*,  $r = \infty, 2, 4, 6, 8$ <br>and different combinations of non-normal parameter  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_4) = (0,0), (0,0.5), (0.5,0), (0,-0.5)$ , and different combinations of non-normal parameter  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_4) = (0,0), (0,0.5), (0.5,0), (0,-0.5)$ , −0.5,0, 0.5,0.5, −0.5, −0.5 have been worked out using equation (2.17) and given in the Table 1.

Table 1. Values of power function for considered values of  $r$ ,  $d$  and  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_4)$ 

Power					
$(\lambda_3, \lambda_4)$	d	$r = \infty$	$r=2$	$r=4$	$r=6$
(0, 0)	$\overline{0}$	0.00270	0.05778	0.01860	0.01091
	0.3	0.01005	0.08049	0.03583	0.02498
	0.5	0.02994	0.12157	0.07054	0.05538
	0.8	0.11292	0.22307	0.17112	0.15207
	1.0	0.22245	0.31496	0.27451	0.25843
	1.3	0.46291	0.47661	0.47089	0.46851
	1.5	0.63837	0.58863	0.60941	0.61809
	1.8	0.84730	0.74158	0.78931	0.80776
	2.0	0.92951	0.82409	0.87592	0.89419
	2.5	0.99520	0.94931	0.97892	0.98602
	3.0	0.99990	0.99049	0.99819	0.99917
(0, 0.5)	$\Omega$	0.00358	0.05831	0.01951	0.01183
	0.3	0.01127	0.08090	0.03660	0.02589
	0.5	0.03131	0.12182	0.07105	0.05608
	0.8	0.11337	0.22307	0.17110	0.15211
	1.0	0.22205	0.31489	0.27430	0.25815
	1.3	0.46275	0.47660	0.47084	0.46844
	1.5	0.63885	0.58870	0.60957	0.61832
	1.8	0.84724	0.74168	0.78944	0.80789
	2.0	0.92854	0.82411	0.87578	0.89390
	2.5	0.99434	0.94907	0.97836	0.98534
	3.0	0.99981	0.99027	0.99797	0.99899
(0.5, 0)	$\overline{0}$	0.00013	0.05401	0.01432	0.00695
	0.3	0.00556	0.07744	0.03163	0.02053

*Mishra and Singh; AJPAS, 15(4): 226-234, 2021; Article no.AJPAS.79501* 



To give a visual comparison of power curves have been drawn in Figs. 1 to 5.



**Fig. 1. Power curve of average control chart when**  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_3) = (0, 0.5)$ 



**Fig. 2. Power curve of average control chart when**  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_3) = (0.5, 0)$ 



**Fig. 3. Power curve of average control chart when**  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_3) = (0, -0.5)$ 



Fig. 4. Power curve of average control chart when  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_3) = (0.5, 0.5)$ 

It is clear from the graphical representation that measurement error affects the power curves for the average control chart under non-normality.

On comparing the values of power function to error free case *viz.*  $r = \infty$ , with other error rates, it is very clear from the visual presentation that effect of measurement error tends to get closer to error free case when  $r =$ 4 and  $r = 6$  but for  $r = 2$  has more serious effect under non-normality, which shows that, in the absence of variability in measurement error, consumers gains undue protection. Since these errors can have considerable effects on the power curves, every effort should be made to reduce the size of errors.



**Fig. 5. Power curve of average control chart when**  $(\lambda_3, \lambda_3) = (-0.5, -0.5)$ 

# **4 Conclusion**

In this paper, effect of measurement error and non-normality on the power function of the control charts for mean with control limits is studied. The measurement error is dependent on the amount of variability in the error term. As soon as this amount increases, the effect of measurement error and non-normality on the power function becomes more serious. Also, we have found that the measurement error effect is quite serious on the power function as compared to non-normality. We have seen that control charts for mean is more robust to normality that to error free case.

## **Competing Interests**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

### **References**

- [1] Yourstone SA, Zimmer WJ. Non-normality and the Design of control charts for averages, Decision Sciences. 2007;23(5):1099-1113.
- [2] Chou CY, Chen CH, Liu HR. Acceptance control chart for non-normal data, Journal of Applied Statistics. 2005;32(1):25-36.
- [3] Singh JR, Singh DP. Robustness of the mean chart for non-normal data, International Journal of Scientific Research in Mathematical and Statistical Sciences. 2014;1(5):1-12.
- [4] Bennett CA. Effect of Measurement Error on Chemical Process Control, Industrial Quality Control. 1954;10(4):17-20.
- [5] Duffuaa SO, Khan M. Impact of Inspection Error on the Performance Measures of a General Repeat Inspection Plan, International Journal of Production Research. 2005;43(23):4945-4967.
- [6] Chakraborty AB, Khurshid A, Acharjee R. measurement error effect on the power of control chart for zero truncated negative binomial distribution, Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research; 2017. DOI: 10.2298/YJOR161028002C
- [7] Singh JR, Mishra U. Power of Control Chart for Singly Truncated Binomial Distribution under Inspection Error, Global and Stochastic Analysis, Special Issue: 25th International Conference of Forum for Interdisciplinary Mathematics; 2017.
- [8] Muhammad Noor-ul-Amin. Impact of Measurement Error on Mixed EWMA-CUSUM Control Chart, Scientia Iranica; 2020. DOI: 10.24200/SCI.2020.53453.3244

*Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79501* 

\_ *© 2021 Mishra and Singh; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*