

 British Microbiology Research Journal 11(1): 1-8, 2016, Article no.BMRJ.20228 ISSN: 2231-0886, NLM ID: 101608140

SCIENCEDOMAIN international www.sciencedomain.org

Microbiological Quality of Laboratories Works Stations: Impact of a System of Saturated Dry Spray Steam

H. Ahouandjnou1,2, F. Baba-Moussa1,2, V. Dougnon³ , J. Bonou1,2, Z. Adeoti² , F. Toukourou² and L. Baba-Moussa4*

 1 Laboratoire National de Contrôle de Qualité des Médicaments et Consommables Médicaux (LNCQ), Ministère de la Santé, 06 BP 139 Cotonou, Benin. ² Laboratoire de Microbiologie et de Technologie Alimentaire, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, ISBA-Champ de foire, 01 BP 526 Cotonou, Benin. ³Laboratoire de Recherche en Biologie Appliquée (LARBA), Ecole Polytechnique d'Abomey-Calavi (EPAC), Université d'Abomey-Calavi, 01 BP 2009 Cotonou, Benin. 4 Laboratoire de Biologie et de Typage Moléculaire en Microbiologie, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université d'Abomey-Calavi, 05 BP 1604 Cotonou, Benin.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Authors FBM, VD, FT and LBM designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript and managed literature searches. Authors HA, JB and ZA managed the analyses of the study and literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/BMRJ/2016/20228 Editor(s): (1) Gyanendra Singh, Gene Therapy & Louisiana Vaccine Center, School of Medicine, LSU Health Sciences Center, Louisiana, USA. (2) Marcin Lukaszewicz, Department of Biotransformation, Faculty of Biotechnology, University of Wroclaw, Wroclaw, Poland And Division of Chemistry and Technology Fuels, Wroclaw University of Technology, Wroclaw, Poland. (3) Joao Lucio Azevedo, University of São Paulo, Department of Genetics, Brazil. Reviewers: (1) Anonymous, University for Development Studies, Tamale, Ghana. (2) Monthon Lertcanawanichakul, Walailak University, Thailand. (3) S. Thenmozhi, Periyar University, India. Complete Peer review History: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11797

> **Received 17th July 2015 Accepted 22nd September 2015 Published 10th October 2015**

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Hygiene and sanitation in laboratories are some important focus for the well-being of scientists and workers. Due to the lack of these notions, a new sanitation system named Polti Sani System has been tested to overcome the limitations of traditional methods.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: laminesaid@yahoo.fr;

For this work, some American Type Culture Collection and Institute Pasteur of Strasbourg reference strains have been used. The biocides activities of the Sani System were assessed by the count of the total aerobic mesophilic bacteria in the working environment before and after disinfection. The Adenosine Triphosphate was also quantified. After a time contact of 30 seconds, Sani System reduced more than five logarithmic levels, the bacterial rate tested. The log reduction achieved with the fungi in the same conditions were greater than four logarithmic levels. The antimicrobial activity was observed both in the environment and on inert supports made of glasses, Plexiglas or tiles with an average reduction rate of 99.13%. This study showed that the Sani System associated with ATP-metry can be successfully used to quickly check the hygiene standards on surfaces or lab environment. It is a real challenge in terms of quality, efficiency and safety for the laboratories.

Keywords: Laboratory safety; sanitation system; saturated steam.

1. INTRODUCTION

Environment as air, surfaces and water faced a permanent but variable microbiological contamination variable in time and space. Microorganisms belong to the environmental saprophytic floras. It also comes from commensal or pathogenic floras of people [1]. As vectors of contamination, these environmental compartments disseminate microorganisms at some distance and insidiously contribute to progressive contamination of various inert supports.

There are many examples of bioburden that have hit the headlines, whether in the hospital, pharmaceutical or food area. Epidemiological data confirm the reality of these risks. Infections are almost due to bacterial causes and in 13% of cases, it is associated to exposure to aerosols [2].

Causing human and material damage, aerobiocontamination is a fundamental problem which affects many sectors. Biocontamination is a biological contamination which may have an adverse effect on the product, the staff or the patient with regard to health facilities [2].

Due to this ubiquitous contamination, personnel safety and protection of handled products can only be achieved in a controlled microbial environment [3]. Therefore, it is important to assess the risk of contamination and to manage it consistently. The control of bioburden in areas at risk relates to compliance with preventive measures but also on physical, chemical and microbiological tests and finally on correctives measures.

The traditional sanitation methods relate to the use of disinfectant solutions, sometimes with high chemical content, which come in contact with the support surfaces or heat or radiation [4].

The limitations of these methods are generally related to the difficulty in reaching the interstices or penetrate the rough surfaces and uneven, it does not provide a total cleaning of the contaminated area. In addition, these disinfectants have a risk of irritation or hypersensitivity due to their chemical composition. Moreover, traditional chemical disinfectants are not suitable for all types of surface and the phenomena of natural and acquired resistance to disinfectants were observed in bacteria [5]. In addition, it requires a manual contact with the surfaces to sanitize. Technological advances in microbiology and sanitation, combined with the new requirements of work safety, product safety and environmental protection led to a complete revision of disinfection procedures. Thus, some new products such as aerosols and complex synthetic compounds appeared.

Among these procedures, the steam was usually used within controlled enclosures which are capable of withstanding the pressures required for sterilization but its direct spray on surfaces is not yet a reality in the world [6]. The principle of such method is particularly interesting because it uses a simple steam and leads to a destruction of microorganisms by the way of generated heat without pollution.

Within the overall framework of risk prevention, specifically the reduction of avoidable proportion of airborne infections and also to overcome the resistance problems due to the use of traditional disinfectants, this study aimed to assess the microbiological quality of the lab working environment by using a nebulizer system of dry saturated steam.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Materials

Materials used were composed of microbial reference strains, experimental equipment disinfection system (nebulization of saturated steam Sani System) luminometer, culture media and reagents, glasswares and consumables.

2.1.1 Microbial strains reference

The antibacterial and antifungal activities of the disinfectant were *in vitro* evaluated on ATCC (American Type Culture Collection) and IP (Institute Pasteur of Strasbourg) strains of
Enterococcus hirae ATCC 10541. Enterococcus Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922, Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853, Candida albicans IP 4872 and Aspergillus niger ATCC 16404.

2.1.2 Experimental disinfection equipment

Disinfection equipment distributed by the company MIVA was a fogging system saturated vapour and a sanitizer. Sani System is an electro-medical device which delivers saturated steam at high temperature (180ºC) associated with the sanitizing HPMed. Its uniqueness lies in the fact that the steam is brought to a high temperature in an expansion chamber (Fig. 1).

Fig. 1. A nebulizer with dry saturated steam: Sani System Polti

HPMed is a co-adjuvant in sanitation vapour of Sani System. It consists of an alcohol-based solution of sodium metasilicate containing a nonionic surfactant, which helps the sanitizing activity of Sani System. The maximum flow rate of the saturated steam is 100 g/ min HPMed represents an aid to sanitation carried out by superheated dry saturated steam delivered by the Polti Sani System. The guaranteed minimum consumption HPMED is 0.4 ml / min Table I shows the chemical composition of the sanitizer HPMed.

A 3 M luminometer clean-trace NG was used with 3 M kits reagents for measuring contamination levels in samples of surface and can effectively monitor surface hygiene. The reagent kits were some dry swabs and Lucifer inluciferase complex [7].

2.2 Methods

The methodology used was about the evaluation of the biocidal activities of the nebulization Sani System. Then, the numeration of total aerobic mesophilic germs in a working environment (air and hard surfaces) before and after disinfection was done. Finally, the Adenosine Triphosphate from the different types of surface was quantified before and after disinfection.

2.2.1 Evaluation of biocides activities of sani system polti

The preparation of the microbial suspension was made according to AFNOR NF T 72-281 [8] where the dilution which gives a concentration of $10⁶$ germs per ml was selected as microbial suspension. Biocidal activities of Sani System Polti have been assessed by the test support [9,10]. After contamination and drying, some slides were treated by spraying of dry saturated steam in combination with sanitizer HPMed for 30 seconds. Along with these tests, another slides contaminated by each microorganism and untreated were maintained at room temperature (16ºC) throughout the tests for determining the initial contamination level brackets and validation of experimental conditions.

Table 1. Composition of disinfectant HPMed

NB: Composition: Nonionic surfactant <5%

After treatment by the Sani System, the slides (N' for the test and N for the untreated control) were transferred into 10 mL of neutralizer (polysorbate 80: 50 mL, sodium thiosulfate: 5 g, trypticase soy broth: qsp 500 mL). The erlenmeyers containing the test slides were then subjected to stirring for 30 seconds and the number of viable microorganisms per mL of reaction medium was determined by successive decimal dilutions following by plating of each dilution on Trypticase Soy Agar (TSA). Each microorganism was tested three times. Seeded Petri dishes and controls were incubated under appropriate conditions for each microorganism. Tryptic Soy Agar was incubated at 35±2ºC for 48 hrs in bacteriological incubator Memmert IBN400. Sabouraud agar with chloramphenicol was incubated at 25±2ºC for 96 hrs in incubator Memmert IPP 400. After incubation, the colonies formed were counted using colony counter Stuart Scientific and the results were expressed in CFU / cm².

For each strain, the log decimal reduction between the number of microorganisms present on the slide before treatment (N) and after treatment (N') was calculated using the formula:

$$
R = N/N
$$
; Where $log_{10} R = log_{10} N - log_{10} N'$

2.2.2 Numeration of mesophilic aerobic microorganisms suspended in the air

Mesophilic aerobic bacteria were collected and counted in the environment of three rooms before and after disinfection for respectively 360 seconds, 600 seconds and 3600 seconds. The method used was the sedimentation one on Petri dish [11]. Three samples were taken in each room and each sample was assayed five times. The time between two (02) samples was seven days. After cultivation staggered between 30-35ºC for 48 hrs and 20-25ºC for 96 hrs, the result of numeration R was expressed as follows:

$$
R = \frac{(N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4 + N_5)}{5}
$$
 X 2h

R is expressed in CFU/4 hrs.

 N_1 , N_2 , N_3 , N_4 , N_5 are the number of colonies counted for each of the five (5) plates seeded.

2.2.3 Enumeration of total aerobic mesophilic floras from lab surfaces

The samples were collected on three types of surfaces: glass, plexiglas and tile (before and after 30 seconds of disinfection) using the technique of wet swab on an area of 10 cm². Ten samples were taken from the surface and 0.1 mL of each dilution sample was plated in duplicate using Tryptic Soy Agar [12]. After incubation at 30-35ºC for 72 hrs, the results expressed as CFU / ml of the initial product, were converted to counts per $cm²$ using the following formula [13]:

 $N = N \times 4$ where:

N': Number of CFU per cm^2 .

N: Number of CFU per ml of the original product.

2.2.4 ATP-metry: Measurement of residual ATP before and after disinfection

Surface samples were collected (before and after 30 sec of disinfection) with dried swabs (ATP test surface). Light emitted by the ATP test surface was measured and the result was displayed on the screen of the luminometer.

2.2.5 Statistical analysis

The results were analysed regarding the disinfection time and the type of surface. To evaluate the influence of the duration of disinfection and the type of surface on the rate of reduction of microorganisms, the test of analysis of variance was used when the conditions of normality and equal variances were met. Otherwise, the Kruskal Wallis was preferred. Student's t test or Wilcoxon test was used to compare the rates of reduction of microorganisms obtained for each factor. The significance level was set at 5%.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effectiveness of Sani System Polti

The Table 2 presents the results of the effectiveness of Sani System Polti on microorganisms. It shows that the supports contaminated with different bacterial strains and treated with Sani System have no detectable microbial growth. The log reductions highlighted after 30 sec disinfection with dry saturated steam were all higher than those required in the NF EN 14561: 2007, EN 14562: 2006 standards [14,15].

These results confirm those of [6] who have also obtained more than 5 log units reductions for bacteria (Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus, Enterococcus hirae) and reductions greater than 4 log units for Aspergillus niger and Candida albicans. Meanwhile, the log reductions obtained in this study for fungi differ from those reported by [16] who highlighted, for a similar system, some reductions discounts greater than 5 log units for Candida albicans.

3.2 Numeration of Mesophilic Aerobic Microorganisms in the Air

The floras for the three rooms $(S_1, S_2 \text{ and } S_3)$ before and after disinfection are mentioned in the Table 3. More disinfection time increases, higher is the reduction of the number of microorganisms.

Microbial quantum in the three rooms (R_1, R_2, R_3) and R_3) after disinfection during 3600 seconds is respectively 02, 04 and 05 CFU/4 hrs. All these rooms belong to Class B as recommended by limits about microbiological contamination [17]. The average reduction of airborne microorganisms in three rooms after 360 sec, 600 sec and 3600 sec nebulization is shown in Fig. 2. It shows that the rate of reduction of airborne germs in three rooms increases with duration of disinfection. Thus, the average reduction rate was 4.57% after 360 sec disinfection. This rate raised to 41.39% after treatment of 600 sec and reached 94.27% after 3600 sec of disinfection.

The results of the analysis of variance of two factors are presented in the Table 4. The duration of disinfection has a significant effect (pvalue ˂ 0.05) on the rate of reduction of microorganisms. This time would probably depend on the surface to be disinfected. The analysis of variance of two factors also indicates that the disinfecting time duration has a highly significant effect on the rate of reduction of airborne germs. Although, disinfection is a onetime treatment effect and does not persist over time (p-value $= 0.79$).

	E. hirae	S. aureus	E. coli	P. aeruginosa	C. albicans	A. niger
Untreated supports (N)	1.2×10^{6}	1.5×10^{6}	$1.4x 10^{6}$	1.2×10^{6}	1.0×10^{6}	1.1 \times 10 ⁶
Treated supports (N')					20	30
log reduction (R)	> 6.07	> 6.17	> 6.14	> 6.07	4.69	4.56

Table 2. Biocidal activities of HPMed sanitizer

Fig. 2. Evolution of the average rate of reduction of microorganisms by nebulization time

3.3 Numeration of Mesophilic Aerobic Microorganisms on Surfaces

The boxplot in Fig. 3 shows the distribution of the average rate of reduction of microorganisms by type of surfaces. The average rate of reduction of microorganisms on glass and plexiglas has a wide variation different from that of the tiles. The reduction rate corresponding to the average numbers were respectively 98.92%, 98.51% and 99.98%. The system with saturated steam is effective on smooth surfaces. These results are similar to those of European Union [18] who

obtained a rate reduction between 98-100% for smooth surfaces. Moreover, none of the treated surfaces have been damaged. No fundamental change in colour, shape and general appearance has been noted.

Kruskal Wallis test shows that the nature of the surface significantly influences the rate of reduction of microorganisms after disinfection. Indeed, the rate of reduction of microorganisms after nebulization of ground (tiles) is significantly higher ($p < 0.05$) than the rate of reduction of the smooth surfaces.

		R_1 (CFU/4h)		$R2$ (CFU/4h)		R_3 (CFU/4h)				
		J1	J8	J15	J1	J8	J15	J1	J8	J15
After	Not disinfected rooms	28	24	34	36	42	48	44	52	58
360 sec	Disinfected rooms	26	24	32	32	40	46	42	52	56
	% reduction	7.14	0	5.88	11.11	4.76	4.16	4.54	0	3.44
After 600	Not disinfected rooms	36	32	44	66	58	64	80	86	84
sec	Disinfected rooms	18	18	24	34	28	34	58	60	60
	% reduction	50	43.75	45.45	48.48	51.72	46.87	27.5	30.23	28.57
After	Not disinfected rooms	34	38	36	74	70	68	88	92	90
3600 sec	Disinfected rooms		2	2	4	4	4	6	6	4
	% reduction	94.11	94.73	94.44	94.59	94.28	94.	93.18	93.47	95.55

Table 4. Analysis of variance of two factors

Surfaces	Before disinfection	After disinfection	Average reduction	p-value
	RLU	RLU	$RLUs$ % (sd*)	
Tiles	2437.5	171.5	94.23(3.17)	< 0.05
Glass	603.8	37.7	93.85 (1.40)	< 0.05
Plexiglass	719.9	68.4	90.56(1.13)	<0.05
		*: sd = standard deviation		

Table 5. Average rate reduction RLUs by area

This result is contrary to the theory that the use of traditional methods of disinfection (towel) on surfaces of low roughness (shiny and well polished) provides a high rate of reduction [19]. The difference should be partly due to the nature of the microorganisms which are very sensitive to heat and sanitizer on the tiles. On the other hand, the fact that the vapours, unlike napkins, penetrate anywhere, even areas closer could explain such situation. By cons, there is no statistical difference between the rate of reduction of a glass surface and a Plexiglas surface $(p = 0.71)$.

3.4 ATP-metry

The average of different values taken by the luminometer before and after disinfection of different types of surfaces (tiles, glass and Plexiglas) and the average reduction are shown in Table 5. The average rate of reduction of the relative amount of light into surfaces of tiles is 94.23% against 93.85% for the glass surfaces and 90.56% for plexiglas. The average of RLUs for glass surfaces, plexiglas and tiles were respectively 37.7 RLU/cm², 68.4 RLU/cm² and 171.5 RLU/ $cm²$. These values are well below the threshold of acceptability in terms of safety $(0-250$ RLU/cm²) [20]. The rate of disinfection on glass and tile is significantly higher than the rate of disinfection in the plexiglas ($p < 0.05$). However, there is no statistically significant difference between the rate of reduction of microorganisms on the tile and glass ($p = 0.73$). Although, whatever the disinfected surface, the reduction rate estimated by ATP-metry method was significant ($p < 0.05$).

It is often wrongly assumed that the results obtained by Relative Light Unit (RLU) should demonstrate a direct correlation with the numeration on petri dish for the same sample. The amount of ATP present in microbial cells can vary greatly depending on the strain and one CFU may correspond to one or more microorganisms. In addition, the bacteria need to grow with food waste so even if in theory, it is possible to make a correlation between RLU and CFU, in practice, however it is impossible to determine the exact origin of ATP (bacteria, yeast, mold, food residue). The most frequent case is a combination of multiple factors. Thus the ATP-metry is not a direct indicator of the presence of bacteria. Nevertheless, it is the fastest and easiest technology to determine the potential for bacterial growth. Therefore, it is an indicator of the actual surface level of hygiene. There is no easier or faster else way to determine a problem. Thus, with the ATP-metry, it is possible to implement corrective actions upstream to avoid any problem of noncompliance.

4. CONCLUSION

Microbial contamination is a serious concern for many industries including food factories, laboratories as well as hospital centres whereby more research are needed on the aspect of disinfection for safety purposes. Methods that can reduce or eliminate microbial growth with less or no injury for the operator and the environment are preferred. This is the case of disinfection using dry saturated steam Sani System which presents many advantages for sanitation despite the cost. In view of these advantages, it is quite possible to consider other applications in the food and medical areas where food and nosocomial infections are not negligible. Associated with ATP-metry, Sani System is then a real challenge in terms of quality, efficiency and safety.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Squinazi F. Mastering the microbial environment in the hospital about the aerobio-contamination - heating, ventilation, air conditioning. Hospital Technology. 1995/03;595:51-56. French.

- 2. Pike RM. Laboratory associated infection: Incidence, fatalities, cases and prevention. Annual Review of Microbiology. 1979;33: 41-66.
- 3. Good Hospital Pharmacy Practices. First edition. 2001;72. French.
- 4. Fleming DO, Hunt DL. Biological Safety: principles and practices $3rd$ edition. Washington DC: ASM press. 2000;784.
- 5. Chopra I. Bacterial resistance to disinfectants, antiseptics, and toxic metal ions. In mechanisms of action of chemical biocides, their study and exploitation (Denyer S, Hugo WB, edit.). Society for Applied Bacteriology, Technical Series N°. 27. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. 1990;45-64.
- 6. Pineau L, Desbuquois C. Disinfection by steam: Microbiological efficacy. Hygiene. 2007;15(4):7. French.
- 7. Champiat D, Larpent JP. Biochimiluminescence: Principles and applications. Masson Biotechnology. 1993; 531. French.
- 8. French Association for Standardization. AASD processes, determining the bactericidal, fungicidal, and sporicidal yeasticidal: French NF T. 2009;72-281.
- 9. Cremieus A, Fleurette J. Methods of testing disinfectants. In disinfection, sterilization, and preservation, $4th$ edition SS. Block, London. 1991;1009-1021.
- 10. Lambert RJW. Evaluation of antimicrobial efficacy. In: Principles and practice of disinfection, preservation and sterilization (fourth edition). Fraise AP, Lambert PA, Maillard JY. (Eds). Etats Unis. 2004; 345-360.
- 11. Morey P, Otten J, Burge H, Chatigny M, Feeley J, LaForce FM, Peterson K. Airborne viable microorganisms in office environments: Sampling protocol and analytical procedures. Applied Industrial Hygiene. 1986;1:19-23.
- 12. Guyader P. Amgar AM. Coignard disinfection: Verification and validation of

the effectiveness of the disinfection operations. In: Bourgeois CM, Mescle JF, Zucca J. Coord. Tome 1 food microbiology: Microbiological aspect of safety and quality of food. Paris: Lavoisier Tec & Doc. Technical and Food Sciences. 1996; 451-455.

- 13. Bendeddouche B, Bensid A. Monitoring the effectiveness of cleaning and disinfection of equipment in a poultry slaughterhouse in Algeria. European Journal of Scientific Research. 2009;27(2):181-187. French.
- 14. NF EN 14561. Chemical disinfectants and antiseptics - Quantitative carrier test for the evaluation of bactericidal activity for instruments in medicine - Test method and requirements (phase 2, step 2); 2007.
- 15. NF EN 14562. Chemical disinfectants Quantitative carrier test for the evaluation of fungicidal or yeasticidal activity for instruments used in human medicine - Test method and requirements (phase 2, step 2); 2006.
- 16. Haas A, Platz S, Eichhorn W. Effect of steam application based on microbiological and parasitologic test procedures. Zentralbl Hyg Umweltmed. 1998;201(4-5): 337-347.
- 17. European Union. EU Good manufactering practice. Medicinal products for human and veterinary use. Annex 1 manufacture of sterile medicinal products (corrected version). 91/356/EEC, EudraLex - Good manufacturing practice (GMP). 2008;4.
- 18. Carcano E. Evaluation of the kinetics of the bactericidal effectiveness of Polti Sani System: Quantitative microbiological testing by means of total aerobic bacteria count Italie. 2008;12.
- 19. Bourrion F. Limitations of cleaning and disinfection operations: Biofilms. In: Albert A. Coord. Cleaning and disinfection in food companies. Laval: ASEPT. 1998;205-211.
- 20. Champiat D. Applications of biochemiluminescence to HACCP. 2001; 16(2):193–198.

___ © 2016 Ahouandjnou et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/11797