Asian Oncology Research Journal

2(1): 92-101, 2019; Article no.AORJ.53277

Nutritional Status, Anthropometric and Biochemical Profile of Down Syndrome Children with Cancer at King Abdullah Medical City Hospital in Makkah

Naser A. ElSawy[1*]

[1]Department of Anatomy and Embryology, Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analyzed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

Editor(s):
(1) Dr. Bing Yan, Department of Oncology, Hainan Branch of PLA General Hospital, Haitang District of Sanya City, Hainan Province, China.
Reviewers:
(1) Hanan Mohamed Hamed, National Research Centre, Egypt.
(2) Adaja Matthew T, University of Medical Sciences, Ondo, Nigeria.
Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/53277

Original Research Article

Received 06 October 2019
Accepted 12 December 2019
Published 18 December 2019

ABSTRACT

Background: Down syndrome children with cancer are susceptible to nutritional depletion due to the combined effects of the malignant disease and its treatment. The assessment of the nutritional status of pediatric oncology patients on admission to hospital is crucial, as nutritional status is known to influence treatment and clinical outcomes.
Objectives: This study aimed at assessing the nutritional status, life style, anthropometric and biochemical profile of children with cancer. The study was carried out at the at oncology department at King Abdullah medical city hospital in Makkah on 100 children having cancer and receiving treatment compared with non-cancer controls using a descriptive design, by using anthropometric parameters and prealbumine level.
Materials and Methods: A descriptive study on 100 Down syndrome children under 15 years with or without cancer was conducted to determine their nutritional status. The children comprised 50 patients with cancer (cases) and 50 controls seen at Down syndrome children's outpatient clinic with minor illnesses. An interview questionnaire and a physical assessment sheet collected data. Which included three parts; the first one covered the clinical examination; the second part was for anthropometric measurement and the third part was for laboratory investigations.
Results: Indicated that leukemia and lymphoma are the commonest cancers and chemotherapy is the therapy mostly used. Children suffer many gastrointestinal symptoms as anorexia, nausea and

**Corresponding author: E-mail: naser_elsawy@ymail.com;*

vomiting. The majority have abnormal anthropometric measurements, hemoglobin and serum prealbumin levels. It can be concluded that the majority of the children were suffering from anemia and malnutrition.

Conclusion: The prevalence of malnutrition in Down syndrome children with cancer is high. Arm anthropometry in conjunction with prealbumine accurately characterizing the nutritional status. Down syndrome children with cancer were significantly more malnourished than those without cancer and will require nutritional support to reduce the morbidity and mortality arising from such illness.

Keywords: Down syndrome; cancer; malnutrition; children.

1. INTRODUCTION

Trisomy 21 is the commonest genetic cause of learning disability in the UK with a birth prevalence of 1 per 1000 live births [1]. Adults with Down syndrome appear to age prematurely, with many showing Alzheimers-like changes in their brains in their 30s and 40s [2]. Neuronal changes are evident in infants with Down syndrome. Post mortem studies have reported neuronal depletion and structural abnormalities of the brain during late gestation and early post-natal life [3] why these changes occur is not fully understood but it has been proposed that the increased activity of two enzymes, copper/zinc superoxide dismutase (SOD-1) and cystathionine-synthase, both coded for on chromosome 21, may be involved.

Increased activity of SOD-1 in children with Down syndrome 4 is thought to cause oxidative damage to neuronal cells by increasing levels of hydrogen peroxide. Evidence that oxidative stress may be involved in the premature neuronal degeneration comes from several sources. Firstly, the cerebral cortex from fetuses with Down syndrome was found to have increased activity of SOD-1 without a compensatory increase in glutathione peroxidase activity (GSH-Px) [4]. Secondly, cortical neurons from fetuses with Down syndrome have an increased concentration of intracellular oxygen derived free radicals and increased lipid peroxidation compared to controls [5].Thirdly, fetal neurons in Down syndrome have increased apoptotic degeneration, which appears to be prevented by the addition of antioxidants [6]. Finally, studies have reported increased products of lipid peroxidation in blood and urine of people with Down syndrome compared with controls [7], [8, 9].

Evidence for a functional folate deficiency in Down syndrome is based on analytical studies in plasma and in vitro studies. The enzyme cystathionine-synthase catalyses the condensation of homocysteine with serine to form cystathionine. Increased levels of this enzyme in Down syndrome leads to significantly reduced plasma concentrations of homocysteine, methionine, S-adenosylhomocysteine and S-denosylmethionine and thereby to a “folate trap” and a functional folate deficiency [10]. In vitro studies have shown that adding selected nutrients (methionine, folinic acid, methyl B12, thymidine and dimethylglycine) to a cultured lymphoblastoid cell line with trisomy 21 causes a shift in one-carbon metabolism to a more normal profile [10].

One study speak about the general incidence of cancer amongst individuals with Down syndrome is the same as in the general population [11].

In particular, acute lymphoblastic leukemiais at least 10 times more common in DS and the megakaryoblastic form of acute myelogenous leukemia is at least 50 times more common in DS. Transient leukemia is a form of leukemia that is rare in individuals without DS but affects up to 20 percent of newborns with DS [12].

Nutritional status is the result of the interaction between environmental and genetic conditions in which a child lives, when these environmental conditions are favorable for life (physical, biological, nutritional and psychosocial), the genetic potential is expressed as an ideal state of nutrition, but when conditions are unfavorable such expression will be diminished, resulting in altered nutritional status, such as malnutrition, overweight and obesity, which would cause the child did not respond to a disease or its treatment suitably at a given time [13].

Malnutrition is a recognized comorbidity in cancer patients and is usually related to the type and extent of tumor. It develops mainly during

the intensive phase of treatment of the disease but may be apparent at diagnosis. Therefore Nutritional support, is an important aspect of management as poor nutrition may be associated with poor prognosis [14].

Multiple factors affecting the nutritional status in cancer patients and related to the treatment (type / dose of chemotherapy, site / dose of radiotherapy and surgery). It is also suggested that all these factors would cause an alteration in intermediary metabolism, resulting in decrease appetite, which eventually lead to lose weight, creating a vicious cycle [15].

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This descriptive study on 100 Down syndrome children under 15 years with or without cancer was conducted at Oncology Department at King Abdullah Medical City Hospital in Makkah in the period from September 2016 to November 2018.

The study included 100 children below 15 years. The studied group was divided into 2 groups:

- Patient group represent 50% of studied individuals (N=50) with age ranged from (1-15) years and this group includes 26 were newly diagnosed cases with cancer and 24 were cases on chemotherapy.
- Control group: represents 50% (N=50) apparently healthy Down syndrome children matched with the patient group for age and sex chosen from Pediatric outpatient clinic.

Patients Inclusion Criteria:

Age: Children of different cancers below 15 years admitted to oncology department.

Sex: both sexes are included.

Children newly diagnosed with cancer.

Children during intensive phase of chemotherapy with stable vital signs were included in the study.

Patients exclusion criteria:

a. Presence of known metabolic or nutritional disease.
b. Presence of any other disease that may affect the child`s nutritional status as diabetes mellitus or renal failure.
c. Children with known diseases of brain; gut, liver, congenital malformation or genetic syndromes were excluded from the study.

2.1 Operational Design

1. **Aquestionnaire interview:** with care taking for collection of personal data, sociodemographic data and complete history taking with particular emphasis on age, sex, initial clinical presentation, associated comorbidities and nutritional history. (Mini Nutritional Assessment (MNA)).

2. **Full clinical examination:** Through physical examination were done with special consideration of anthropometric measurements:

2.2 Anthropometric Measurements Including

Body weight (Wt): Weight were calculated using SECA scale to the nearest 0.01 kg or 10 gm. The measurements were expressed in kilograms. a child should be weighed in light clothing.

Standing height (Ht): On astadiometer and results were expressed in centimeters.

Body mass index (BMI): Published international age and gender specific reference values for percentile of BMI in infant and children and the task force recommended the use of percentile of BMI (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters square**)** [BMI=Weight (kg)/Height 2 (m2)to indicate over nutrition or undernutrition. It accounts for the differences in body composition by defining the level of adiposity and relating it to height, thus eliminating dependence on frame size [16].

Mid-upper-arm Circumference (MUAC), also known as Mid-Arm Circumference (MAC) is a simple measure taken by a flexible tape placed perpendicular to the long axis of the arm, which is flexed at 90° angle. The midpoint of the upper arm half way between the acromion and the olecranon is measured and marked. Then, with the patient's arm relaxed at the side, the tape is placed around the previously marked midpoint used to define nutritional status [17].

Triceps skinfold thickness *(TSF):* *TSF* is measured using a skinfold caliper on the right arm at the point marked previously for the MUAC

on the back of the arm. The examiner grasps the skin and subcutaneous fat tissue between thumb and forefinger above the point previously marked. After the skin, where the skinfold caliper is placed at the midpoint marked, maintaining a grasp of the skinfold. TSF is commonly adopted for research setting, but it can be also useful for identifying patient's body fat stores [17].

Laboratory investigations: using

-Complete blood count,
-Liver function,
-Kidney function,
- Serum albumin & Prealbumine.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

Data were entered checked and analyzed using Minitab 17.0 statistical software. Quantitative data was presented as mean and standard deviation (Mean +_ SD). Comparisons between patients and controls were performed using Chi-Square test**,** was used for non-normally distributed data. Statistical significance was set at p value <0 .05 level and highly significant when p was less than 0.01.

3. RESULTS

This study was held on 100 child between ages of 1-15 years .OF those, 26 were newly diagnosed cases with cancer, 24 were cases on chemotherapy and 50 were control group. Patient group represent 50% of study individuals (N=50) while control group represents 50% (N=50).

The male represents 56 % of study population (N=56) while female represents 54% of study population (N= 54).

In the patient group male children were 30 child representing 60% of the patient group while females were 20 child representing 40% of the same group.

In the control group males were 26 child representing 52% of control group while females were 24 representing 48% of the same group.

The mean age of the patient population was 6.1+/- 1.9 years (median 5.4 years). For the control group the mean age was 6.72+/- 2.1 (median 6 years).

Table 1. Hematological and biochemical findings among the studied patients (N=100)

Item	Studied cancer patients (N=50)	Studied Down patients (N=50)
Complete Blood picture		
WBCs P- value 0.059 (NS)		
Mean ± SD	13.12 ± 4.33	5.23± 1.11
Hemoglobin P- value 0.048 (S)		
Mean ± SD	8.65 ± 1.32	11.29±1.16
Platelet count P- value 0.098 (NS)		
Mean ± SD	222.8 ± 38.1	298.11±61.09
RBCs P- value 0.06 (NS)		
Mean ± SD	3.21 ± 0.41	4.1±0.51
Liver function tests		
ALT P- value 0.038 (S)		
Mean ± SD	41.12 ± 8.9	33.99±7.8
AST P- value 0.08 (NS)		
Mean ± SD	42.28 ± 9.1	32.67±4.9
Albumin P- value 0.033 (S)		
Mean ± SD	3.61 ± 0.49	3.51±0.23
Kidney function tests P- value 0.058 (NS)		
Urea P- value 0.0071 (very high significant)		
Mean ± SD	18.88±3.9	15.29±2.1
Creatinine P- value 0.062 (NS)		
Mean ± SD	0.75±0.19	22±0.22
Serum pre albumin P- value 0.004 (very high significant)		
Mean ± SD	112.9±15.22	201.8±33.9

#*Chi-square test****.*** *P < 0.05 is significant.* *NS: Not significant*

4. DISCUSSION

Malnutrition in pediatric cancer is common worldwide, yet its prevalence and effects on clinical outcomes remain unclear. Cancer is the leading cause of disease-related death in children younger than the age of 14 years [18].

Cancer-related malnutrition is due to a variety of factors, including poor oral intake, abnormal metabolism of nutrients and adverse effects from chemotherapy and radiation, including nausea, vomiting, anorexia, and mucositis [19].

In relating nutritional status with the type of cancer in our study, the prevalence of malnutrition was higher in patients with hematological tumors (80%) than those with solid malignancies (20%). It was observed from the study that the most common type of cancer was Leukemia, followed by Hodgkin Lymphoma then non- Hodgkin Lymphoma (Burkitt Lymphoma) then Neuroblastoma, Wilms tumors, bone tumors, and others 5 tumors so, this agreed with Kavita Sudersanadas and colleagues [20]. who studied 104 of children suffering from various types of hematological malignancies and found most common type of cancer was Leukemia, followed by Lymphomas.

Disagreed with us Garfólo and colleagues [21] who reported that patients with solid tumors presented malnutrition more frequently compared to haematological malignancies.

In contrary, Lemos Pdos and colleagues [22]. found no differences in malnutrition frequency between haematological malignancies and solid tumors.

In our study, the complaint of weight loss appeared in cancer patients. However, in the study of Bonaccorsi and colleagues [23]. Found that weight loss was used as the main criterion of nutritional assessment, with its prevalence varying between 40 and 80% during treatment.

Table 2. Weight changes in cancer cases

Item	Studied cancer cases (N=50)	
	No.	%
Weight changes within one month		
No change	23	46
Weight loss	26	52
Weight gain	1	2

Table 3. Anthropometric parameters of the studied children (N=100)

Parameters	Cancer cases (N=50)	Control N=50)	P- value
Weight			
Mean ± SD	17 .17± 4.22	20.13± 3.94	0.000*
Height			
Mean ± SD	105.1 ± 12.9	115.1±9.11	0.006*
BMI			
Mean ± SD	13.8± 3.11	16.88±4.1	0.002*
MAC			
Mean ± SD	15.21 ± 3.77	18.52±3.31	0.001*
SFT			
Mean ± SD	15.07 ± 3.22	18.2±3.2	0.001*

Table 4. Proportion of malnourished children based on BMI among the studied groups

BMI	Total		Studied cancer cases (N=50)		Down Control (N=50)		Chi-square test	P-value
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Underweight <16.0	51	51.0	42	84	9	18	27.44	0.001*
Borderline 16-18.5	20	20.0	4	8	16	32		
Normal 18.5-24.5	29	16.0	4	8	25	50		

Table 5. Sensitivity and specificity of biochemical markers as malnutrition identifiers in cancer cases using type of cancer with ROC curve

Item	Solid malignancies						Hematological tumors					
	AUC	P-value	CI For ROC	Optimal Cut off	Sens.	Spec.	AUC	P-value	CI For ROC	Optimal Cut off	Sens.	Spec.
Pre-alb	.557	.416	.42-.69	123.5	51%	47%	.444	.396	.31-.58	124.5	54%	44%
Hb	.651	.039*	.52-.78	8.55	66%	63%	.349	.036*	.22-.48	8.15	53%	26%

In agreement with us Maia-Lemos and colleagues [24]. found that average weight loss was moderate to severe in all tumor groups, except for retinoblastoma and Wilms Tumor. The difference between usual reported weight and current weight was statistically significant in patients diagnosed with carcinomas, lymphomas and bone tumors. The complaint of weight loss was given by represents 73% of the patients in this study.

But Blum and colleagues [25]. found that there is no agreement on the criteria regarding nutritional status assessment, such as the percentage weight loss that is clinically relevant**.**

Concerning the anthropometric measurements of the current study children, the results demonstrated that anthropometric parameters showed statistically significant decrease in weight, height, BMI, MAC and SFT in studied cancer patients compared with the control healthy children**.**

Maia-Lemos and colleagues [24]. agreed with us and found prevalence of malnutrition was higher according to TSFT and MUAC when compared with BMI, suggesting a higher sensitivity of those methods.

In agreement with our results, Sala and colleagues [26]. made a large study conducted in seven countries in Central America found the same to be true. When using the standard method of BMI for age the percentage of malnourished children with cancer was 45%. However, when arm anthropometry (MUAC and TSFT) was used 63% of the children were malnourished. These studies have identified the importance of using MUAC and TSFT to assess nutritional status in the pediatric oncology patient, especially in patients with intra-abdominal solid tumors.

Also, in agreement with our results Brinksma and colleagues [27]. observed the incidence of under nutrition in newly diagnosed pediatric cancer patients to be between 23-29% using weight and height as parameters. However, it was observed that weight and height related anthropometry was still deficient in identifying patients with malnutrition. Most Patients with large tumor masses such as renal tumor or Burkitt lymphoma would not have been detected as having malnutrition if weight or height related anthropometric parameters were used alone to assess their nutritional status, as such large tumors would add to their weight. Sala and colleagues [26]. Who noted that some intra-abdominal tumors would add up to 10-20% to patient's weight have also documented this same observation.

In our study there is significant positive correlation between weight, height, BMI, MAC, and SFT, concerning pre albumin level there is significant positive correlation between pre albumin level and RBCS, Hemoglobin and serum albumin level. In control group also there is significant strong positive correlation between weight, height, BMI, MAC, and SFT, concerning pre albumin level there is significant positive correlation between pre albumin level and BMI.

In aggrement with our study Frank and Olsen [28]. found that low serum albumin, has a relation with anemia as an indicators for malnutrition. Serum albumin is an indicator for severe or late under nutrition, whereas anemia is an early indicator.

As regards the results of laboratory investigations, the present study revealed that more than one third of the studied children had anemia based on measurement of their hemoglobin level. The finding corroborates the clinical finding of a high prevalence of pallor among these children confirms that a large proportion of these children do suffer from malnutrition.

Amal Khalil and colleagues [29]. agreed with our data founding abnormal anthropometric measurements, hemoglobin and serum albumin levels in 105 children having cancer and receiving treatment.

Kuruğol and colleagues [30]. Evaluated nutritional status in 45 pediatric cancer patients in several statuses of disease (diagnosis, remission, recurrence); 51.1% showed malnutrition according to the weight-for-height index, although serum albumin levels were found to be normal. When they measured prealbumin, the authors found that children in the active disease group had lower prealbumin levels than children in the remission group. They concluded that only prealbumin is a reliable and sensitive indicator of mild and marginal malnutrition. They suggested that low prealbumin may be found before malnutrition is detected by anthropometric measurements.

Yu LC and colleagues [31]. also reported that prealbumin is the most sensitive indicator of visceral protein status in leukemic patients. Their

study involved 25 patients with leukemia, either in remission or newly diagnosed/recurrent. Fifty percent of the patients had abnormal prealbumin levels, whereas only 10–18% of the children had abnormal levels of either albumin, transferrin, or retinol-binding protein and none of the children studied had abnormal anthropometric measurements. Unlike our study, this was not a prospective study and it did not show the improvement in prealbumin values in each patient throughout chemotherapy.

Oguz and colleagues [32]. also suggested that prealbumin is the most sensitive indicator of protein energy malnutrition because it was able to indicate mild malnutrition. Anthropometric measurements were not sensitive enough to do so. Their subjects apparently were healthy children in western Nigeria, in which malnutrition is common. Others have stated that prealbumin also is a sensitive marker for the response to nutritional repletion, far more than anthropometric parameters and albumin

Header and colleagues [33]. also suggested that Calories, Ca, Mg, K, Na and Zn, vitamin B3, and folic acid intakes were significantly lower than AI for girls in both age groups. Girls in the ≤ 8-year-old group were leaner than girls in the > 8-year-old group so it must be adjusted .

Header and ElSawy [34]. also suggested that increasing calcium, iron fiber intake, fruits and vegetables (i.e. oranges and orange Juice, carrots, tomatoes, spinach, lettuce, broccoli and greens) should be targeted to have adequate levels of antioxidants in the diet and decreasing fat and red meat intake, increased nutrition knowledge and nutritional awareness is indispensable.

5. CONCLUSION

Maintaining good nutrition is an important aspect of cancer care and management. Therefore, maintaining good nutrition leads to better clinical outcome, quality of life, and cost of care and whenever there is a clinical suspicion of a low nutritional state, the preferred laboratory examination is prealbumin.

For the accurate classification of anthropometric data are compared with reference charts for gestational age. Ideally, up-to-date reference data from the same or a similar population that has a considerable impact on the classification, especially for preschool children [35].

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Establishing nutritional support teams composed of dietitian, nutritionist, physician, nurse and parents to improve the child's nutrition.

CONSENT

As per international standard, patient’s written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the authors.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Huang T, Watt H, Wald N et al. Birth prevalence of Down's syndrome in England and Wales 1990 to 1997. J Med Screen. 1998;5(4):213-4.
2. Kolata G. Down syndrome--Alzheimer's linked. Science. 1985;230(4730):1152-3.
3. Becker L, Mito T, Takashima S, Onodera K. Growth and development of the brain in Down syndrome. ProgClinBiol Res. 1991; 373:133-52.
4. Brooksbank BW, Balazs R. Superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase and lipoperoxidation in Down's syndrome fetal brain. Brain Res. 1984;318(1):37-44.
5. Busciglio J, Yankner BA. Apoptosis and increased generation of reactive oxygen species in Down's syndrome neurons in vitro. Nature. 1995;378(6559):776-9.
6. Jovanovic SV, Clements D, MacLeod K. Biomarkers of oxidative stress are significantly elevated in Down syndrome. Free RadicBiol Med. 1998;25(9):1044-8.
7. Kedziora J, Bartosz G, Gromadzinska J, Sklodowska M, Wesowicz W, Scianowski J. Lipid peroxides in blood plasma and enzymatic antioxidativedefence of erythrocytes in Down's syndrome. ClinChimActa. 1986;154(3):191-4.
8. Krishna Murthy DS, Murthy SK, Patel JK, Banker GN, Shah VC. Inherited pericentric inversion of Y-chromosome with trisomy 21. A case report. Ann Genet. 1989; 32(1):47-51.

9. Bras A, Monteiro C, Rueff J. Oxidative stress in trisomy 21. A possible role in cataractogenesis. Ophthalmic Paediatr Genet. 1989;10(4):271-7.
10. Pogribna M, Melnyk S, Pogribny I, Chango A, Yi P, James SJ. Homocysteine metabolism in children with Down syndrome: In vitro modulation. Am J Hum Genet. 2001;69(1):88-95.
11. Batshaw Mark ed: Children with disabilities (5th ed.). Baltimore [u.a.]: Paul H. Brookes. 2005;308.
[ISBN 978-1-55766-581-2]
[Archived from the original on 2017-01-23]
12. Margulies Phillip. Down syndrome (1st ed.). New York: Rosen Pub. Group. 5. [ISBN 978-1-4042-0695-3]
[Archived from the original on 2017-01-23]
13. Krebs NF, Primak LE, Haemer M. Normal Childhood Nutrition & Its Disorders. In: Hay WW, Levin MJ, Sondheimer JM, Deterding RR, (eds.) CURRENT Diagnosis & Treatment: Pediatrics. New York: McGraw-Hill. 2011;10.
Available:http://www.accessmedicine.com/content.aspx?aID=6578685
14. Charles A, Reginald AA, Fareed KNA, Samuel KB, Janet A The assessment and prediction of malnutrition in children suffering of cancer in Ghana. Euro J Exp Bio. 2014;4(4):31-37.
15. Brinksma A, Huizinga G, Sulkers E, Kamps W, Roodbol P, Tissing W. Malnutrition in childhood cancer patients: a review on its prevalence and possible causes. Critical reviews in oncology/ hematology. 2012;83(2):249-275.
16. Hammond KA, Litchford MD. Clinical: Inflammation, Physical and Functional 1 Assessment, 13th ed. In: Mahan LK, Escott-Stump S, Raymond JL, eds. Krause's Food and the Nutrition Care Process. USA: Saunders; 2012. Elsevier.
17. Murphy AJ, White M, Davies PSW. The validity of simple methods to detect poor-2 nutritional status in pediatric oncology patients. British Journal of Nutrition. 2009; 101:1388-1392.
18. Robinson DL, Loman DG, Balakas K, Flowers M. Nutritional screening and early intervention in children, adolescents, and young adults with cancer. Journal of Pediatric Oncology Nursing. 2012;29:346-355.
DOI:10.1177/ 1043454212460921
19. Jones L, Watling RM, Wilkins S, Pizer B. Nutritional support in children and young people with cancer undergoing chemotherapy. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2010;(7):CD003298. DOI:10.1002/14651858.CD003298.pub2
20. Kavita Sudersanadas, Arwa Saleh Alsharidah, Nesrin Al Harthy, Winnie Philip, Shoeb Qureshi. Effect of Chemotherapy on Nutritional Status of Pediatric Subjects with Hematological Malignancies King Saud bin Abdul-Aziz University for Health Sciences University-JMSCR. 2017;5(5):21201-21215.
Avilable: www.jmscr.igmpublication.org
21. Garofolo A, Lopez FA, Petrilli AS. High prevalence of malnutrition among patients with solid non-hematological tumors as found by using skinfold and circumference measurements. Sao Paulo Med J. 2005; 123(6):277-81.
22. Lemos Pdos S, de Oliveira FL, Caran EM. Nutritional status of children and adolescents at diagnosis of hematological and solid malignancies. Rev Bras Hematol Hemoter. 2014; 36:420-423.
23. Bonaccorsi G, Baggiani L, Bassetti A, Colombo C, Lorini C, et al. Body composition assessment in a sample of eight-year-old children. Nutrition. 2009;25: 1020-1028.
24. Maia-Lemos PS, Ceragioli-Oliveira FL, Monteiro-Caran EM. Nutritional Status at Diagnosis in Children with Cancer in Brazil. Pediatr Ther. 2016;6:295.
DOI:10.4172/2161-0665.1000295
25. Blum D, Omlin A, Baracos VE et al. Cancer cachexia: A systematic literature review of items and domains associated with involuntary weight loss in cancer. Crit Rev Oncol/Hematol. 2011;80:114–144. CrossRef | Google Scholar
26. Sala A, Rossi E, Antilon F, Molina AL, de Maselli T, et al. Nutritional Status at diagnosis is related to clinical outcome in children and adolescents with cancer: A perspective from Central America. Eur J Cancer. 2012;48(2):243-252.
27. Brinskma A, Petrie FR, Sulkers E, Hooimeijaer HL, Sauer Pieter JJ, et al. Weight and height in children newly diagnosed with cancer. Pediatric Blood and Cancer. 2015;62(2):269-273.
28. Frank M, Olsen SJ. Instruments for clinical health cancer research (2nd ed), Hamilton Company. 2000;247-249,252-257.

29. Amal A. Khalil, D. Nsc. Sonia G, El-sharkawy, khadiga AE. Gomaa D.Nsc, Donia El-said Zaghamir, M. Sc. The Departments of Pediatric Nursing and Pediatrics, Faculties of Nursing and Medicine, Port Said and Suez Canal Universities Med. J. Cairo Univ. 2013; 81(2):163-171.
Available:www.medicaljournalofcairouniversity.com.
30. Kuruğol Z, Egemen A, Cetinğul N, Öztop S, Kavakli K, Nisli G. Nutritional status of children with leukemia [letter]. Med Pediatr Oncol. 1997;28:321–2.
31. Yu LC, Kuvibidila S, Ducos R, Warrier RP. Nutritional status of children with leukemia. Med Pediatr Oncol. 1994;22:73–7.
32. Oguz A, Karadeniz C, Pelit M, et al. Arm anthropometry in evaluation of malnutrition in children with cancer. Pediatr Hematology Oncology. 1999;16:35–41.
33. Header EA, Bukhari HM, Alfky NA, ElSawy NA, Al-Kush AG. Dietary intake and body composition for primary schoolgirls in the Makkah province of Saudi Arabia. Asian Journal of Natural & Applied Sciences. 2017;6(2):33-50.
34. Header EA, ElSawy NA. Nutritional and Hematological study for colon cancer patients; food intake, fatty acids, specific amino acids and hematological results. SCIREA Journal of Health. 2016;1(1).
35. Alkushi AG, ElSawy NA. Maternal Anthropometric Study of Low Birth Weight Newborns in Saudi Arabia: A Hospital-Based Case-Control Study Advances in Reproductive Sciences. 2016;4:101-113.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/53277