**Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology** 



**36(3): 1-11, 2019; Article no.CJAST.49130 ISSN: 2457-1024** (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)

# Assessment of Genetic Diversity in Nigella (*Nigella sativa* L.) Collections Using Principle Component Analysis

S. P. Singh<sup>1</sup>, Avinash Kumar<sup>2</sup>, Banshidhar<sup>2</sup>, Sandeep Kumar Suman<sup>3</sup>, Ashutosh Kumar<sup>2</sup>, P. P. Singh<sup>4</sup>, Kaushal Kishor<sup>4</sup>, U. K. Singh<sup>5</sup>, V. K. Choudhary<sup>4</sup> and Rajesh Kumar<sup>4\*</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>AICRP Spices, Tirhut College of Agriculture (TCA), Dholi, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Agricultural Biotechnology and Molecular Biology, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.
 <sup>4</sup>Directorate of Seed and Farm, Tirhut College of Agriculture (TCA), Dholi, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.
 <sup>5</sup>Department of Seed and Technology, Tirhut College of Agriculture (TCA), Dholi, Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, Pusa, Samastipur, Bihar, India.

# Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Authors SPS, Avinash Kumar, Banshidhar and Ashutosh Kumar planned and conducted the experiment. Author SKS analyzed the data while collection of review, preparation of first hand manuscript and correction of the manuscript was carried out by authors PPS, KK, UKS, VKC and RK. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2019/v36i330234 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. Tushar Ranjan Assistant Professor, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetic Engineering, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, India. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Ahmed Mohamed Abdelmoghny, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt. (2) Nidhi Tiwari, Nepal. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49130</u>

> Received 17 April 2019 Accepted 24 June 2019 Published 08 July 2019

Original Research Article

# ABSTRACT

Seventeen land races of Nigella along with one released variety (Rajendra Shyama) as a check; collected at farmer's field from different parts of Bihar were evaluated in Randomized Block Design with three replications at Seed production Farm, TCA, Dholi, Bihar during *Rabi* 2015-16 to identify

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: rajrau.2007@rediffmail.com;

diverse Nigella genotypes. Principle component analysis (PCA) showed that first three PCs had >1.00 Eigen value and accounted to 84.71% of total variation. Rotated component matrix for various traits revealed that PC1 was strongly associated with secondary branches/plant followed by yield/plant, length of fruit, fruit per plant, primary branches/plant, height of the plant, days to 50% flowering and grains/plant. The traits that mostly contributed to PC2 were grains/plant followed by height of the plant and width of fruit whereas, days to maturity followed by width of fruit, height of the plant, days to 50% flowering and length of fruit contributed mostly to the PC3. The characters that contributed most to the PC4 were height of the plant, fruit/plant and length of fruit. Therefore, intensive selection procedures can be adopted to bring about rapid improvement of above mentioned traits. The k-mean of different clusters indicated that genotype falling in cluster III possess high values for all the traits under study indicating their potentiality as a parent in hybridization programmes for further improvement of Nigella. Highest inter-cluster distance was noted between cluster III and V indicating the genetic diversity among genotypes of these two clusters. Therefore, genotypes from these two clusters are recommended to use in hybridization programmes for further improvement.

Keywords: Nigella sativa; principle component analysis; genetic diversity; black cumin.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Black Cumin (Nigella sativa L.) is an annual herbaceous plant belonging to the family Ranunculacea [1]. It is popularly known as kalongi and an important seed spice crop of India. Black cumin is grown under a wide range of environments, but flourishes in cooler and dry regions [2]. A temperature range of 5-25°C with the optimum of 12 -14°C and rainfall of 400-500 mm are the most suitable to produce good crops. The crop is frost sensitive at any growth stage and this limits its distribution in Europe and the highland areas of the tropics. Black cumin can grow on all kinds of soils [3] but, it prefers loamy sand soils [4]. It can be grown from sea level to 2500 m of altitude with a reduction in yield with increasing altitude.

The nigella producing countries other than India are Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Bangladesh, Nepal, Egypt and Iraq. In India it is cultivated commercially in Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Punjab and Assam. It has also been noticed to occur wild in these areas. The other states where its cultivation has been taken up on small scale are Uttar Pradesh, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu and West Bengal.

The dried seeds of nigella are the commercial product being used in food. The seeds contain 0.5 to 1.4% essential oil which has demand in the pharmaceutical and perfume industry [5]. It has been used since antiquity for culinary, seasoning and pharmacological purposes [6]. Many medical properties have been attributed to the *Nigella sativa* L. seeds and its oil, including

carminatives, diuretics. antineoplastic (antitumour), antifungal, anti-helminthic, while their oil has protective action against histamine induced bronchospasm, cough and bronchal asthma [7,8], antidiabetics [9,10], spasmolytic and bronchodilator [11], anti-inflammatory [12], antibacterial [13], galactogogue, antioxidant [14,15] and insect repellent effects [16]. Additionally, black seed is a valuable source of protein, carbohydrates, essential fatty acids, vitamins as well as minerals such as calcium, magnesium, potassium, iron, selenium, manganese and zinc. Nigella sativa L. is being considered important for both oil and bioactive compounds because their constituents have unique chemical properties and may augment the supply of edible oils [17]. Black cumin seed has higher total phospholipid content than cotton and soybean seed oil [18].

Genetic diversity is pre-requisite for any crop improvement programme, as it helps in the development of superior recombinant [19]. Genetic distance estimates for population grouping can be estimated by different methods as it is crucial to understand the usable variability existing in the population panel [20]. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is a powerful tool in modern data analysis because this is a wellknown multivariate statistical technique which is used to identify the minimum number of components, which can explain maximum variability out of the total variability [21,22] and also to rank genotypes on the basis of PC scores. Principal components are generally estimated either from correlation matrix or covariance matrix. Considering the importance of

Singh et al.; CJAST, 36(3): 1-11, 2019; Article no.CJAST.49130

PCA this study is conducted with the objective of identifying diverse Nigella genotypes.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seventeen land races of Nigella along with one released variety (Rajendra Shyama) as a check, collected from different parts of Bihar (Table 1) were evaluated in Randomized Block Design with three replications at Seed production Farm, TCA, Dholi, Bihar during Rabi 2015-16. The experimental site is located at 25.59 N latitude and 85.75 E longitudes and has altitude of 51.20 m above mean sea level. Soil of TCA, Dholi, Bihar is mainly young alluvium and calcareous. Standard agronomic practices were adopted with row to row and plant to plant spacing of 25×5 cm, recommended dose of fertilizer was applied during the time of crop period. Data was recorded for ten different traits viz., Plant height, primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant, days to 50 per cent flowering, Fruit length, Fruit width, days to maturity, fruit per plant, grains per plant and yield per plant. Five competitive plants from each plot were randomly chosen for recording the data except for days to 50 per cent flowering and days to maturity whose data were recorded on plot basis.

The data were subjected to pooled analysis for genetic divergence by using statistical package WINDOSTAT version 9.2 (INDOSTAT service, Hyderabad). Intra and inter-cluster Euclidean distances generated were used to describe the relationship among the genotypes. Cluster analysis was carried out to construct the dendrogram, depicting the relationship among genotypes based on the genetic distances.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

RN-20 has highest mean value for primary branch /plant (12.00), secondary branch / plant (36.67), grain yield (129.33) and yield / plant (16.23g).RN-78 show highest mean value for fruit length (2.25 cm) and fruit/plant (49.67). RN-71 has highest mean value for fruit width (1.86 cm). RN-77 has least mean value for plant height (52.67) while the check variety Rajendra Shyama has least mean value for days to flowering (67.33) and days to maturity (136.67). The analysis of variance indicated that mean squares for genotypes were highly significant for all the traits under study. For establishing genetic relationship among the genotypes and their genetic discrimination Principal Component analysis was performed. Association between traits emphasised by this method may correspond to genetic linkage between loci controlling the traits or a pleotropic effect [23].

The genetic variation present in breeding population was divided into four principal components (PCs) which explained 91.69% of total variation (Table 4). According to Brejda et al. [24], the PC with Eigen values >1 and which explained at least 5% of the variation in the data will be considered as principal components. The

| S. No. | Name            | Source                   | Collection year |
|--------|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 1)     | RN-20           | East Champaran           | 2000            |
| 2)     | RN-22           | Muzaffarpur              | 2001            |
| 3)     | RN-25           | Bhagalpur                | 2001            |
| 4)     | RN-65           | Nalanda                  | 2002            |
| 5)     | RN-66           | Banka                    | 2002            |
| 6)     | RN-68           | Jammui                   | 2002            |
| 7)     | RN-69           | Munger                   | 2003            |
| 8)     | RN-70           | Nawada                   | 2003            |
| 9)     | RN-71           | Gopalganj                | 2004            |
| 10)    | RN-72           | Siwan                    | 2004            |
| 11)    | RN-73           | Chhapra                  | 2004            |
| 12)    | RN-74           | Arrah                    | 2005            |
| 13)    | RN-75           | Khagaria                 | 2005            |
| 14)    | RN-76           | Gopalganj                | 2005            |
| 15)    | RN-77           | Vaishali                 | 2006            |
| 16)    | RN-78           | Darbhanga                | 2006            |
| 17)    | RN-79           | Sitamarhi                | 2007            |
| 18)    | Rajendra Shyama | Released variety (Check) | 2011            |

Table 1. Name, source and collection year of the nigella genotypes





first three PCs had >1.00 Eigen value and accounted to 84.71 % of total variation. It indicates that the identified characters within these components exhibited immense influence on the phenotype of the genotypes. The first principal component (PC<sub>1</sub>) explained 55.47% of the total variation. The second principal component (PC<sub>2</sub>) explained 17.14% variation individually and 72.62% cumulative variation. The third principal component (PC<sub>3</sub>) explained 12.08% variation individually and 84.71% cumulatively.

Rotated component matrix for various traits revealed that PC<sub>1</sub> was strongly associated with secondary branches/plant followed by yield/plant, length of fruit, fruit per plant, primary branches/plant, height of the plant, days to 50% flowering and grains/plant. The traits that mostly contributed to PC<sub>2</sub> were grains/plant followed by height of the plant and width of fruit whereas, days to maturity followed by width of fruit, height of the plant, days to 50% flowering and length of fruit contributed mostly to the PC<sub>3</sub>. The characters that contributed most to the PC<sub>4</sub> were height of the plant, fruit/plant and length of fruit. Therefore, intensive selection procedures can be adopted to bring about rapid improvement of above mentioned traits.

#### 3.1 Cluster Analysis

Cluster analysis helps in selection of suitable genotype(s) or parent to use in hybridization programme for the manipulation of desirable traits. Choice of proper parent(s) plays a vital role for a successful plant breeding programme. Parents having more genetic distance believed to create higher variations by generating higher recombination frequency, which increase the genetic gain in selection [25]. The grouping of collected lines was done by K-mean clustering pattern. The distribution of 18 lines along with check into five clusters and their cluster means are presented in Tables 5 and 7 respectively. Cluster III and IV comprised of only one line forming the smallest cluster followed by cluster V which was comprised of only two lines. Cluster I and II comprised of nine and five nigella lines respectively. The check Rajendra Shyama was clustered in Cluster V [26]. The k-mean of different clusters indicated that genotype falling in cluster III possess high values for all the traits under study. The genotypes in cluster I have minimum values for height of the plant, primary branches/plant, secondary branches/plant, days to 50% flowering, length of fruit, days to maturity and yield/plant while, genotypes in cluster II have minimum values for width of fruit, fruits/plant and grains/plant. It indicates that representative lines can be chosen from particular diverse groups based on their cluster mean and can be involved in improvement programmes for Nigella improvement.

The character contribution of various clusters towards the genetic diversity by Tochers clustering method indicated that Grains per plant and Yield per plant were the major contributors towards total divergence (Table 8).

| S. No. | Genotypes       | Plant<br>height | Pri.<br>branches/plant | Sec.<br>branches/plant | Days to<br>flowering | Fruit<br>length(cm) | Fruit<br>width(cm) | Days to<br>maturity | Fruit/plant | Grains/plant | Yield/plant<br>(Gm) |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1      | RN-20           | 83.67           | 12.00                  | 36.67                  | 68.67                | 2.18                | 1.73               | 138.33              | 76.00       | 129.33       | 16.23               |
| 2      | RN-22           | 69.00           | 8.67                   | 26.67                  | 69.67                | 1.96                | 1.58               | 137.67              | 61.67       | 87.67        | 9.13                |
| 3      | RN-25           | 67.00           | 9.67                   | 29.00                  | 74.33                | 2.09                | 1.43               | 138.67              | 69.67       | 62.00        | 8.82                |
| 4      | RN-65           | 69.00           | 8.67                   | 27.00                  | 76.67                | 1.95                | 1.48               | 137.67              | 62.67       | 67.00        | 8.56                |
| 5      | RN-66           | 71.67           | 9.33                   | 30.67                  | 73.00                | 2.14                | 1.58               | 138.00              | 69.67       | 92.67        | 10.51               |
| 6      | RN-68           | 66.00           | 8.00                   | 20.00                  | 78.67                | 2.00                | 1.93               | 138.67              | 66.00       | 91.00        | 9.85                |
| 7      | RN-69           | 65.00           | 10.67                  | 29.67                  | 77.33                | 1.99                | 1.53               | 139.67              | 68.00       | 83.00        | 10.70               |
| 8      | RN-70           | 87.00           | 9.00                   | 31.67                  | 76.33                | 2.15                | 1.84               | 140.00              | 71.67       | 94.33        | 8.76                |
| 9      | RN-71           | 72.00           | 9.00                   | 24.67                  | 74.67                | 1.99                | 1.88               | 139.67              | 58.00       | 102.00       | 9.68                |
| 10     | RN-72           | 60.67           | 10.00                  | 25.67                  | 73.00                | 1.99                | 1.63               | 137.67              | 58.00       | 92.33        | 8.80                |
| 11     | RN-73           | 68.67           | 9.00                   | 25.33                  | 77.67                | 2.07                | 1.63               | 139.33              | 61.67       | 58.67        | 9.43                |
| 12     | RN-74           | 67.67           | 10.67                  | 34.67                  | 78.33                | 2.00                | 1.45               | 141.67              | 63.67       | 79.00        | 10.75               |
| 13     | RN-75           | 65.67           | 8.00                   | 20.00                  | 77.00                | 1.90                | 1.50               | 140.00              | 71.67       | 92.00        | 8.24                |
| 14     | RN-76           | 67.67           | 8.00                   | 27.00                  | 77.33                | 2.00                | 1.55               | 139.33              | 67.67       | 65.00        | 11.46               |
| 15     | RN-77           | 52.67           | 8.67                   | 24.00                  | 79.33                | 1.95                | 1.50               | 140.00              | 62.67       | 63.67        | 8.09                |
| 16     | RN-78           | 73.00           | 12.00                  | 55.67                  | 78.00                | 2.25                | 1.58               | 141.00              | 99.67       | 78.00        | 12.39               |
| 17     | RN-79           | 74.67           | 10.00                  | 26.00                  | 72.00                | 2.06                | 1.45               | 138.67              | 65.67       | 70.00        | 10.30               |
| 18     | Rajendra Shyama | 55.00           | 7.67                   | 19.00                  | 67.33                | 1.73                | 1.32               | 136.67              | 55.67       | 55.00        | 7.59                |
|        | Mean            | 68.67           | 9.39                   | 28.52                  | 74.96                | 2.02                | 1.59               | 139.04              | 67.20       | 81.26        | 9.96                |
|        | C.V.            | 8.66            | 13.68                  | 14.32                  | 4.29                 | 6.34                | 10.62              | 0.88                | 12.70       | 8.35         | 12.23               |
|        | F ratio         | 5.87            | 3.05                   | 12.29                  | 3.82                 | 2.53                | 2.82               | 3.28                | 3.89        | 22.63        | 8.11                |
|        | F Prob.         | 0.00            | 0.00                   | 0.00                   | 0.00                 | 0.01                | 0.00               | 0.00                | 0.00        | 0.00         | 0.00                |
|        | S.E.            | 3.43            | 0.74                   | 2.36                   | 1.86                 | 0.07                | 0.10               | 0.71                | 4.93        | 3.92         | 0.70                |
|        | C.D. 5%         | 9.87            | 2.13                   | 6.77                   | 5.34                 | 0.21                | 0.28               | 2.03                | 14.16       | 11.27        | 2.02                |
|        | C.D. 1%         | 13.25           | 2.86                   | 9.10                   | 7.17                 | 0.29                | 0.38               | 2.72                | 19.01       | 15.12        | 2.71                |

# Table 2. Mean performance of genotypes for studied traits

| Traits SOV                    |              | Mean squares (MS) |       |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|
|                               | Replications | Genotypes         | Error |
| d.f                           | 2            | 17                | 34    |
| Height of the plant           | 13.55        | 207.76**          | 35.37 |
| Primary branches per plant    | 0.36         | 5.02**            | 3.04  |
| Secondary branches per plant  | 0.96         | 204.87**          | 16.66 |
| Days to 50 per cent flowering | 1.40         | 39.48**           | 10.34 |
| length of fruit               | 0.003        | 0.04*             | 0.016 |
| Width of fruit                | 0.011        | 0.080**           | 0.028 |
| Days to maturity              | 0.46         | 4.89**            | 1.49  |
| Fruit per plant               | 15.79        | 283.29**          | 72.79 |
| Grains per plant              | 19.79        | 1042.92**         | 46.09 |
| Yield per plant               | 0.28         | 12.03**           | 1.48  |

# Table 3. Analysis of variance for various yield traits of Nigella

\* and \*\* Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability levels, respectively

# Table 4. Eigen values and variability explained by each principal components (PCs)

|                     | PC1   | PC2   | PC3   | PC4   |
|---------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Eigene Value (Root) | 5.547 | 1.714 | 1.208 | 0.698 |
| % Var. Exp.         | 55.47 | 17.14 | 12.08 | 6.98  |
| Cum. Var. Exp.      | 55.47 | 72.62 | 84.71 | 91.69 |

# Table 5. Rotated component matrix for various traits

| Traits                   | PC1     | PC2     | PC3     | PC4     |
|--------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Height of the Plant (cm) | 0.27047 | 0.40103 | 0.29174 | 0.40761 |
| Primary Branches/Plant   | 0.35236 | 0.02250 |         |         |
| Secondary Branches/Plant | 0.41041 |         |         |         |
| Days to 50%Flowering     | 0.25405 |         | 0.26469 | 0.02384 |
| Length of Fruit (cm)     | 0.38104 | 0.07956 | 0.18150 | 0.32709 |
| Width of Fruit (cm)      |         | 0.31455 | 0.33182 |         |
| Days to Maturity         |         |         | 0.67470 |         |
| Fruit/Plant              | 0.37405 |         | 0.04416 | 0.33129 |
| Grains/Plant             | 0.17236 | 0.51885 | 0.39816 |         |
| Yield/ Plant (in g)      | 0.39107 | 0.06985 |         |         |



Fig. 2. 3-D distribution of the diverse genotype based on principal components

Singh et al.; CJAST, 36(3): 1-11, 2019; Article no.CJAST.49130



Fig. 3. Mahalonobis [27] eucladean distance (Not to the Scale) by tocher method



Fig. 4. Dendrogram of the diverse genotype of Nigella

| Group K | No. of genotypes Within clusters | Genotypes within clusters                                      |
|---------|----------------------------------|--|
|         | 9                                | RN-25, RN-65, RN-66, RN-69, RN-70, RN-73, RN-74, RN-76 & RN-79 |
| II      | 5                                | RN-22, RN-68, RN-71, RN-72, RN-75                              |
| III     | 1                                | RN-20  |
| IV      | 1                                | RN-78  |
| V       | 2                                | RN-77 & Rajendra Shyama  |

# Table 6. Distribution of Nigella genotypes in various clusters

# Table 7. Mean characteristics (K-Mean) on various traits for each cluster in Nigella genotypes

| Cluster | Plant  | Primary        | Secondary branches/ | Days to 50% | Fruit  | Fruit | Days to  | Fruits/ | Grains/plant | Yield/plant |
|---------|--------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|--------|-------|----------|---------|--------------|-------------|
|         | height | branches/plant | plant               | flowering   | length | width | maturity | plant   |              |             |
| Ι       | 37.852 | 5.148          | 16.056              | 42.481      | 1.131  | 0.917 | 77.444   | 38.500  | 47.444       | 5.471       |
| II      | 40.200 | 5.567          | 16.100              | 44.633      | 1.190  | 0.875 | 83.267   | 37.900  | 39.167       | 5.544       |
|         | 76.333 | 10.333         | 31.667              | 69.167      | 2.072  | 1.655 | 138.000  | 68.833  | 108.50       | 12.677      |
| IV      | 0.000  | 0.000          | 0.000               | 0.000       | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000    | 0.000   | 0.000        | 0.000       |
| V       | 0.000  | 0.000          | 0.000               | 0.000       | 0.000  | 0.000 | 0.000    | 0.000   | 0.000        | 0.000       |

| S. No. | Traits                        | Times Ranked 1 <sup>st</sup> | Contribution (%) |
|--------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 1.     | Height of the plant           | 10                           | 6.54%            |
| 2.     | Primary branches per plant    | 0                            | 0.00%            |
| 3.     | Secondary branches per plant  | 2                            | 1.31%            |
| 4.     | Days to 50 per cent flowering | 6                            | 3.92%            |
| 5.     | length of fruit               | 2                            | 1.31%            |
| 6.     | Width of fruit                | 4                            | 2.61%            |
| 7.     | Days to maturity              | 4                            | 2.61%            |
| 8.     | Fruit per plant               | 1                            | 0.65%            |
| 9.     | Grains per plant              | 57                           | 37.25%           |
| 10.    | Yield per plant               | 67                           | 43.79%           |

 Table 8. Contribution percentage of traits towards genetic divergence

Table 9. Estimates of Intra (diagonal) and Inter-cluster distances in 18 Nigella genotypes

| Cluster |      | I    |      | IV    | V     |
|---------|------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 1       | 0.57 | 1.69 | 2.64 | 10.94 | 11.29 |
| II      |      | 1.48 | 4.53 | 8.06  | 11.32 |
| III     |      |      | 0.00 | 19.54 | 22.32 |
| IV      |      |      |      | 0.00  | 5.63  |
| V       |      |      |      |       | 0.00  |

Intra and inter cluster distance was carried out on the basis of yield and its component traits between eighteen genotypes (Table 9). In general, inter-cluster were greater than the intracluster distances indicating the considerable amount of genetic diversity among the genotypes studied. The average intra-cluster distance between genotypes was maximum (1.48) for the cluster II followed by Cluster I (0.57). Cluster III, IV and V did not show intra-cluster distance indicating their genetic similarity. The highest inter-cluster distance was noted between cluster III and V (22.32) followed by cluster III and IV (19.54). The least inter-cluster distance was observed for cluster I and II (1.69) indicating their genetic similarity.

3-D Plot diagram was constructed on the first three principle components (Fig. 2). Researchers use 3-D plot in principle component analysis to visually assess which components explain most of the variability in the data. In 3-D diagram, Rajendra shyama and RN-77 were plotted at distant end whereas; RN-78 and RN-20 were plotted at other end of 3-D plot indicating their effectiveness in breeding programme for improvement of Nigella.

# 4. CONCLUSION

Based on above discussion, PCA analysis revealed the possibility for improvement of

Nigella through various agro-morphological traits. In 3-D diagram (Fig. 2) Rajendra shyama and RN-77 were found most divergent mutant lines with RN-78 and RN-20 which can be utilized programme effectively in breeding for improvement of Nigella. RN-20 seems to be a promising line for higher grain yield (129.33) with high mean value for yield atributing traits like primary branch /plant (12.00), secondary branch / plant (36.67) and yield / plant (16.23 g). The highest inter-cluster distance was noted between cluster III and V (22.32) indicating the genetic diversity among genotypes of these Therefore, genotypes from two clusters. these two clusters were recommended for use in hybridization programmes for further improvement.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

 Hammo YH. Effect of high levels of nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizer, pinching, and seed rate on growth and yield components of *Nigella sativa* L. 1-vegetative growth and seed yield. Mesopotamia J of Agric. 2008;36:1-8.

- Weiss EA. Spice crops. CABI Publishing. CABI International, Wallingford, Oxon, UK; 2002.
- Jansen MC. Spices, condiments and medicinal plants in Ethiopia, their taxonomy and agricultural significances. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation, Wageningen. 1981;294.
- Datta S, Sharanghi AB, Pariari A, Chatterjee RR. Prospect of minor spices cultivation in West Bengal. Paper presented in VIII State Science Congress, 28 Feb-2nd–March, 2001, Kalyani Publisher, West Bengal, India; 2001.
- Malhotra SK. Minor seed spices 2 Parsley, caraway, black, caraway and nigella. In Fifty Years of Spices Research in India. Ravindran PN (ed.) IISR, Calicut, India (in press); 2004.
- Shah SH. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on nitrate reductase activity, protein, and oil yields of *Nigella sativa* L. as affected by foliar GA3 application. Turk J Bot. 2008; 32:165-170.
- Worthen DR, Ghosheh OA, Crooks PA. The *in vitro* anti tumor activity of some crude and purified components of black seed (*Nigella sativa* L.). Anticancer Res. 1998;18:1527-1532.
- Khan N, Sharma S, Sultana S. Nigella sativa (black cumin) ameliorates potassium bromate-induced early events of carcinogenesis: Diminution of oxidative stress. Human Experi. Toxicol. 2003;22: 193-203.
- Fararh KM, Atoji Y, Shimizu Y, Takewaki T. Isulinotropic properties of *Nigella sativa* oil instreptozotocin plus nicotinamide diabetic hamster. Res. Veteria. Sci. 2002; 73:279-282.
- El-Dakhakhny M, Mady N, Lembert N, Ammon HP. The hypoglycemic effect of *Nigella sativa* oil is mediated by extrapancreatic actions. Planta Medica. 2002; 68:465-466.
- Boskabady MH, Shermohammadi B, Jandaghi P, Kiani S. Possible mechanism (s) for the relaxant effect of aqueous and macerated extracts from *Nigella sativa* ontracheal chains of guinea pig. BMC Pharmacology. 2004;4:1-6.
- 12. Hajhashemi V, Ghannadi A, Jafarabadi H. Blackcumin seed essential oil, as a potent

analgesic and anti-inflammatory drug. Phytother. Res. 2004;18:195-199.

- Mashhadian NV, Rakhshandeh H. Antibacterial and anti fungal effects of *Nigellasativa* extracts against *S.aureus*, *P. aeroginosa* and *C. albicans*. Pak. J. Medi. Sci. 2005;21(1):47-52.
- 14. Brutis M, Bucar F. Antioxidant activity of *Nigella sativa* essential oil. Phytother. Res. 2000;14:323-328.
- Kanter M, Meral I, Dede S, Gunduz H, Cemek M, Ozbekand H, Uygan I. Effect of *Nigella sativa* L. and *Urtica dioica* L., on lipid peroxidation, antioxidant enzyme systems and some liver enzymes in CC14treated rats. J. Vet. Med. 2003;50:264-268.
- 16. Fisher C. Spices of life. Chemistry in Britain. 2002;38:40-42.
- Ramadan MF, Morsel JT. Analysis of glycolipids from black cumin (*Nigella* sativa L.), coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) and Niger (*Guizotia abyssinicacass.*) oil seeds. Food Chemi. 2003;80:197-204.
- Gunstone FD, Harwood JL, Padley FB. The lipid handbook. Chapman and Hall Ltd. The University Press, Cambridge; 1986.
- 19. Manonmani S, Khan AF. Analysis of genetic diversity for selection of parents in rice. Oryza. 2003;40(3/4):54-56.
- Nachimuthu VV, Robin S, Sudhakar D, Raveendran M, Rajeswari S, Manonmani S. Evaluation of rice genetic diversity and variability in a population panel by principal component analysis. Indian Journal of Science and Technology. 2014;7(10): 1555-1562.
- Anderson TW. An introduction to multivariate analysis. Wiley Eastem Pvt. Ltd. New Delhi; 1972.
- Morrison DE. Multivariate statistical methods 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. 4<sup>th</sup> Print. McGraw Hill Kogakusta Ltd.; 1978.
- Lezzoni AF, Pritts MP. Applications of principal component analysis to horticultural research. Hort Science. 1991; 26(4):334–338
- 24. Brejda JJ, Moorman TB, Karlen DL, Dao TH. Identification of regional soil quality factors and indicators. I. Central and Southern High- Plains. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 2000;64:2115-2124.

- 25. Fehr WR. Principles of cultivar development. Crop species. Macmillan, New York. 1987;2.
- Nikita Kumari, Rajesh Kumar, Avinash Kumar, Singh UK. Principal component analysis of morpho-physiological traits in

mutants lines of rice under submerged condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal 2019;8(4): 402-407.

27. Mahalanobis. On the generalized distance in statistics. Proc. Nat. Inst. Sciences India. 19362:49–55.

© 2019 Singh et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle3.com/review-history/49130